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Foreword

Several recent events have highlighted the need for long-term satellite observations of the Earth system. Climate change and anthropogenic activities are expected to significantly influence the functioning of terrestrial ecosystems and thereby alter the fluxes of energy, mass and momentum between the land surface and the atmosphere. There has been partial success in closing this feedback loop of climate–vegetation– interactions. However, the accurate characterization of the land surface vegetation and its seasonal manifestation remains crucial to this effort. The accurate quantification in space and time of the land surface vegetation describes the boundary conditions necessary to land surface-atmosphere interactions in models, subsequently better climate modeling, and change monitoring.

Land surface vegetation and related parameters are a central component of Earth Observing and an integrator of climate and anthropogenic drivers. While land surface vegetation is measured by various direct and indirect observational methods, the vegetation index time series, from various Earth Observing and Imaging Systems, is by far the most successful and globally explicit data record. The international Committee on Earth Observing Satellites (CEOS) convened a Vegetation Index/Phenology workshop, in Summer 2006 and Fall 2016, to bring together producers and users of global VI time-series data and discuss the current state of the global VI time series records, their accuracy, validation status, derivation methods, and how to quantify their uncertainty. The community recognizes the value of the long term AVHRR-NDVI, the expanding MODIS NDVI/EVI record, and the emerging S-NPP VIIRS data record and their importance to science and global change research. Any new data record should consider steps to integrate important and significant improvements brought by EOS-MODIS science to insure not only backward but also forward compatibility with newer sensors and products. VIIRS is building on this ongoing 35+ year old NDVI time series and will expand the 17+ year MODIS Enhanced Vegetation Index time series. The VIIRS Vegetation Index effort is also addressing issues in the records, methodologies, community requirements, and will for the first time quantitatively and explicitly address the uncertainty in these records in the proper spatial context.

Vegetation indices are now a standard tool of Earth system science. They continue to play a central role in global change research, in terrestrial ecology, land cover and land use change, carbon cycle, and a host of other themes. Vegetation indices also support ecosystem and climate models by providing a proxy and an easy tool for the spatial scaling of a list of parameters about the land surface, primary production, and vegetation phenology in particular. Their pervasive use is due to the simple, robust, and intrinsic characteristics. Their formulation makes no assumptions about the observations or the data used to derive them, which minimizes the biases and leads to consistent time series data that can be assimilated easily into all sorts of applications, models, and research topics. VIIRS Vegetation Index time series is expected to build upon and continue this tradition and expand the time series for another 30+ years considering the upcoming operational Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS) VIIRS series.

The S-NPP VIIRS vegetation index (VI) algorithm and product suite is building on the EOS MODIS land surface product suite and will provide a reliable spatial and temporal measures of coarse resolution global vegetation metrics useful for monitoring the Earth’s land surface vegetation activity, distribution, and composition while supporting phenological studies, change detection, and model parameterization. Gridded vegetation index maps are regularly generated at a quasi-8 day, 16-day, and monthly intervals.
Three vegetation index algorithms are produced globally, the historical Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), one of the longest remote sensing based time series data records. S-NPP VIIRS NDVI will provide a direct link to the heritage NOAA-AVHRR NDVI time series. The ‘Enhanced’ vegetation index (EVI), introduced with EOS-MODIS as an improved and highly sensitive index in high biomass regions. EVI improves vegetation monitoring by the de-coupling and mitigation of the canopy background signal and an empirical correction of atmosphere influences. The third is the 2-band EVI2, a reformulation of the standard 3-band EVI, that aims at sensor continuity and elimination of the blue band from the equation. EVI2 provides a transition index that will eventually replace EVI and eliminate the need for the blue band, while providing a robust and direct mechanism for backward compatibility and forward continuity with any sensor that lacks the blue band, like AVHRR.

S-NPP VIIRS VI algorithms improve the standard EOS MODIS VI compositing methodologies by minimizing the impact of residual clouds and atmosphere contaminants. The VIIRS VI suite uses surface reflectance corrected for molecular scattering, ozone absorption, aerosols, and employ a constrained view angle maximum value composite (CV-MVC) methods aimed at reducing the sun-target-sensor angular variations. In addition, all VI data provide a detailed per-pixel quality assurance (QA) flags to aid post processing. The suite consists of 8-day, 16-day, and monthly tiled products at 500m and 1km, and global coarse resolution cloud free and gap filled Climate Modeling Grid (CMG) products.

This document describes the product suite, some of the theoretical basis for the development and implementation of these S-NPP VIIRS VI algorithms (with more detail in the ABTD document), their characterization, error, uncertainty and overall performance.
1. Introduction

One of the primary interests of observing the Earth surface with global imagers is to characterize and measure the role of vegetation in large-scale global processes with the key goal of understanding how the Earth functions as a system. This requires an understanding of the global distribution of vegetation types as well as their biophysical, functional, structural properties, and spatial/temporal variations. While many direct spectral images interpretation methods exist the simpler method of spectral bands ratioing, or Vegetation Indices (VI), remains one of the most robust empirical methods for characterizing land surface vegetation health and activity (Tucker 1979, Huete et al. 2002, Tucker et al. 2005). Vegetation indices are designed to enhance the vegetation reflected signal from measured spectral responses by making use of the distinctive soil-vegetation characteristic in the red-edge area of the spectrum. Vegetation indices combine two (or more) spectral bands in the red (0.6 - 0.7 µm), NIR wavelengths (0.7-1.1 µm), and Blue (0.44-0.5 µm) regions (Tucker 1979, Huete et al. 2002). Vegetation indices time series inform us about the status of vegetation health during the growing season and as it changes in response to environmental, climate, and anthropogenic drivers. Time series measures of vegetation index have been shown highly correlated with flux tower photosynthesis measurement and integrate the response of vegetation to change in environmental factors providing valuable information about land cover, land use, primary production, and carbon cycle to global change research.

A recent development of the study of land surface vegetation with remote sensing time series data is the characterization of vegetation growing season or phenology. While phenology is the study of change of all living things over time, in this context phenology is the study of vegetation change over time using remote sensing data and tools (Beaubien, et al. 2003, Zhang et al. 2003, White et al. 2009). Because vegetation phenology affects terrestrial carbon cycle across a wide range of ecosystem and climate regimes (Baldocchi et al. 2001; Churkina et al. 2005; Richardson et al. 2009) accurate information related to phenology is important to studies of regional-to-global carbon budgets. The presence of leaves also influences land surface albedo (Moore et al. 1996; Ollinger et al. 2008) and exerts strong control on surface radiation budgets and the partitioning of net radiation between latent and sensible heat fluxes (Chen and Dudhia, 2001; Yang et al. 2006). Thus, the phenological dynamics of vegetated ecosystems influence a host of eco-physiological processes that affect hydrologic processes (Hogg et al. 2000), nutrient-cycling, (Cooke and Weih, 2005), and land-atmosphere interactions (Heimann et al. 1998). Many data sets related to plant growing season have been collected at specific sites or in networks focused on individual plants or plant species, still remote sensing provides the only way to observe and monitor land cover, vegetation, and phenology at global scale and at consistent and regular intervals. Satellite phenology encompasses the analysis of the timing and rates of vegetation growth, senescence, and dormancy at seasonal and interannual time scales. To that end, vegetation indices, which capture the aggregate functioning of a canopy (Asrar et al. 1984, Huete et al. 2002 and 2008), are the most robust and widely used proxies for extracting phenology information (White et al. 2009).

1.1. The S-NPP VIIRS Platform

The Suomi National Polar-orbiting Partnership (Suomi NPP, formerly the NPOESS Preparatory Project) satellite was launched on October 28, 2011 to provide continuity for more than 30 operational and science-quality data records initiated by the earlier MODerate Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) aboard Terra and Aqua NASA Earth Observing System (EOS) satellites. The VIIRS Vegetation Index algorithm and product suite will continue this data record that dates back to 1981, when NOAA’s Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) started this continuum (Tucker 1979, Tucker et al. 2005, Huete et al. 2002, Brown et al. 2006, Didan 2010) and
will extend these data records to the next decades and beyond. This vital Earth science time series has played a key role in supporting the monitoring, detection, and quantification of global land vegetation properties and change over time and space.

The Suomi NPP mission is also the bridge between NASA’s EOS satellites and the Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS) of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and provides a demonstration and validation of JPSS program, sensors, and data products (Goldberg et al. 2011, Murphy et al. 2001, Cao et al. 2013, Justice et al. 2013). With more than 4 years of largely NOAA operational use, NASA has initiated an EOS-MODIS like effort to support the generation of research quality climate data records (CDR) from the Suomi NPP (and eventually JPSS-VIIRS) platforms to support the science community interested in continuity, accuracy, and consistency of this long term data record and to provide for a transition to JPSS-VIIRS.

The Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) is similar to the EOS MODIS instruments that have been collecting critical Earth science data since early 2000 and have continued to build a long-term climate observations record dating back to 1981 by AVHRR. VIIRS, one of five sensors aboard the Suomi NPP platform, collects visible and infrared measurements of the land, atmosphere, cryosphere, and oceans. Key land products from VIIRS are the vegetation indices, both NDVI and EVI (referred to as EVI3 sometimes in this document). While these are currently generated by NOAA for operational purposes, NASA Earth system objectives is the application of the more rigorous EOS methods to Suomi NPP data in order to generate a higher quality data and serve the science community while supporting Earth system science research (Justice et al. 2013).

NOAA’s goal for VIIRS were and will continue to be mostly focused on near real time operational and application oriented data needs. However, NASA’s role in the initial years between pre- and post-launch was the evaluation of sensor data records (SDRs) and environmental data records (EDRs) and assess their suitability for Earth system science. Although the SDR/EDR VIIRS products vary greatly in their quality and suitability for Earth system science, the initial assessment indicates they are suitable for Earth system science and applications (Vargas et al. 2013, Justice et al. 2013). The NASA test Vegetation index data from VIIRS, generated by an older version of the EOS-MODIS VI Algorithm has shown that VIIRS VI time series is comparable to data from MODIS. Most importantly, the initial evaluation denotes that MODIS Algorithms are applicable to VIIRS provided sensor considerations are observed.

1.2. Motivation and Background

Driven by a steady increase in atmosphere CO2 concentration (Fig. 1a), climate change is expected to significantly impact the two key climate drivers of land surface vegetation, precipitation and temperature (Fig. 1, Figure 2 & 3). This will in turn alter the functioning of terrestrial ecosystems and thereby alter fluxes of energy, mass and momentum between the land surface and the atmosphere (Melillo et al. 1996; Watson et al. 1996; Mintz, 1984; Dickinson & Henderson-Sellers, 1988; Rowntree, 1988; Bonan et al. 1992, Saleska et al. 2016, Wu et al. 2016). There has been limited success in closing this feedback loop of climate–vegetation– interactions, however, the accurate characterization of land surface phenology, i.e., the seasonal timing and annual sequence of events in plant life (Fig. 1b), is crucial to this effort, and to link land surface – atmosphere interactions in models (Claussen, 1994). Vegetation is a key component of ecosystem functioning and processes. Numerous studies have demonstrated that climate processes operating at seasonal...
and interannual time scales (e.g., ENSO) are identifiable in the vegetation dynamic (Braswell et al. 1996; Asner et al. 2000, Myneni et al. 1997).

**Figure 1.** Time-series representation of zonally (20° latitudinal bands) averaged CO₂ and EVI2. CO₂ data from WMO WDCGG/Japan Meteorological Agency [http://ds.data.jma.go.jp/ghg/kanshi/ghgpc2_e.html]. VI data from the MEaSURES-2006 VIP ESDRs (https://vip.arizona.edu/viplab_data_explorer.php). Vegetation volume, distribution, and phenology timing drive the noticeable differences between the Northern and Southern hemisphere. EVI2 time series captures the CO₂ seasonality and the spring pulse, illustrating the affinity between VIs and Ecological metrics of the carbon cycle.

**Figure 2:** a) Multi-model mean changes in precipitation (mm day⁻¹) for boreal winter (DJF) and summer (JJA). Changes are given for the SRES A1B scenario, for the period 2080 to 2099 relative to 1980 to 1999. Dotting denotes areas where the magnitude of the multi-model ensemble mean exceeds the inter-model standard deviation (Meehl et al. 2007, reproduced from IPCC). b) Decadal temperature anomalies (Hansen et al. 2010).

Recent work indicates that the effects of climate change are manifested in landscape vegetation dynamic (Fig. 3) (Randerson et al. 1999, Huete et al. 2006, Saleska et al. 2016). Shifts in phenology depict an integrated vegetation response to environmental change and influence local biogeochemical processes, including nutrient dynamics, photosynthesis, water cycling, soil moisture depletion, transpiration, and canopy physiology (Reich & Borchert 1988; Herwitz 1985). Knowledge of phenologic variability and the environmental conditions controlling their activity are further prerequisite to inter-annual studies and predictive modeling of land surface responses to climate change (Myneni et al. 1997; Shabanov et al. 2002; White et al. 2002; Huete et al. 2006; Saleska et al. 2007; Huete et al. 2008; Keeling 1996a, 1996b; Saleska et al., 2016; Herrmann et al.)
With major shifts in global temperature and precipitation patterns anticipated (ICCP, 2006), there is increased concern on how land surface vegetation and phenology will change in response to global warming, land cover change, and shifts in land use activities (Schwartz & Reed, 1999; deBeurs and Henebry, 2005; Cochrane et al. 1999; Gedney & Valdes, 2000; Lambin et al. 2003).

Figure 3: Global Map of Drought Hazard Index (Geng et al. 2016). The spatial nature of these phenomena requires spatially explicit global quantification of the vegetation (ex: VI time series).

Satellite vegetation indices have played a major role in monitoring seasonal vegetation dynamics (Henderson-Sellers 1993 & 1995, Huete et al. 2002, Saleska et al. 2007, Herrman and Didan 2016) and interannual comparisons of vegetation activity. Satellite studies using vegetation index time series seasonal profiles have shown how broad-scale changes in land use and land cover change affect land surface phenology (White et al. 2002, 2009, Huete et al. 2006). The temporal profile of the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) has been shown to depict phenologic events such as, length of the growing season, peak greenness, onset of greenness, and leaf turnover or 'dry-down' period and the time integral of the VI over the growing season has been correlated with NPP/GPP (Running and Nemani, 1988; Prince, 1991; Justice et al. 2000; Goward et al. 1991; Tucker and Sellers, 1986; Huete et al. 2008). VIs are integrative, consistent, and robust observations of photosynthetic potential, a key climate parameter (Running et al. 1988). There is evidence from satellite data that the phenology of key biomes is changing in response to shifts in climate (Myneni et al. 1997, Keeling et al. 1996; White et al. 2002; Bogaert et al. 2002; Jia et al. 2003; Huete et. al. 2006 & 2008, Saleska et al. 2007), e.g., Myneni et al. (1997) used a 10 year AVHRR-NDVI time series of northern Boreal forests to show a warming trend, whereby the length of the growing season had increased by nearly 2 weeks. Whether these trends will persist, change direction, or disappear altogether requires accurate observation and the compilation of long term data records.

While the MODIS era is waning down, the continuity of these records is critical to the research and application remote sensing communities. In this document we will present a detailed description of the S-NPP VIIRS VI product suite and production algorithms, drawing from the EOS MODIS experience.

1.3. A Context for Long Term Vegetation Index Data Records

Whereas single mission or sensor specific measurements of vegetation index exist, the length of these records is usually limited due to the mission life expectancy, usually few years, engineering and technological changes that necessitates new designs and improvements, and changes in data processing methods and science algorithms which denotes need for multiple reprocessing. In practice these limitations impose a restriction on the data usefulness in particular when addressing long term phenomenon and trends because they lack representation, or in statistical context they cannot support the generation of an accurate and representative long term normal. Extending these
records beyond the short life time framework of the sensor has been both a goal and a challenge. The data record(s) discussed in this document were proposed within the framework of NASA’s Earth Observing Systems and the measurements this effort requires. In this document we will discuss two global data records that characterize land surface vegetation, the NDVI and EVI (and the alternative EVI2). These two records are complementary, in that they do provide slightly different insights about the vegetation health and productivity (Huete et al. 2002) with NDVI being the more sensitive one to chlorophyll (red band) and EVI being more sensitive to canopy structure (NIR band).

This effort will extend and continue the 35+ years VI data records from the NOAA AVHRR and EOS MODIS into the post-EOS S-NPP (and JPSS) VIIRS era. This record has resulted in significant Earth system science insights related to global and regional agricultural primary production, inter-annual fluctuations and impacts of ENSO on primary production, phenology variations over time, and trends in land cover and changes driven by climate (Huete et al. 2006 & 2008, Saleska et al. 2016, Herrmann and Didan et al. 2016). Whereas integrated AVHRR NDVI time series have been shown to correlate with annual net primary production across biome types, more recent applications of highly calibrated MODIS and SPOT-VGT VIs have demonstrated their utility for estimates of gross primary productivity (GPP) at local scales (Sims et al. 2008, Rahman et al. 2005). Vegetation indices are now being used to better characterize the structure, metabolism, and overall photosynthesis and transpiration which drive changes in gross primary productivity.

With EOS-MODIS, a new index, the Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) became a new standard tool (Huete et al. 2002). EVI data has been incorporated into the Vegetation Photosynthesis Model to produce tower-calibrated predictions of GPP carbon fluxes across a series of biomes (Xiao. 2005, Huete et al. 2008, Zhang et al. 2017). VIs are a tool of choice for characterizing vegetation phenology (Zhang et al. 2003, White et al. 2009), which are critical to understanding ecosystem functioning and associated seasonal patterns of carbon, water, and energy fluxes. VIs from the MODIS sensor provided for the first time an accurate depiction of seasonality in dense Amazon rainforests with strong correlation with tower-calibrated GPP measurements of carbon fluxes in both intact rainforest and forest conversion sites (Huete et al. 2006 & 2008, Saleska et al. 2007 & 2016, Wu et al. 2016, Seddon et al. 2016).

While these findings continue to stimulate scientific debates, some of the recent work points to the key role of data processing methods and production algorithms (Huete et al. 2002, Samanta et al. 2010, Morton et al, 2014, Wu et al. 2016, Saleska et al, 2016, Herrmann et al. 2016) as the most critical if we are to make progress in making sense of what these data records are narrating. The community expects the VI record to continue with the same level of rigor, accuracy, continuity, and spatial and temporal scales.

2. **VIIRS product #13, Gridded Vegetation Indices (VNP13 Level 3 suite)**

The level 3 gridded vegetation indices are standard products designed to extend the significant VI time series derived from AVHRR and MODIS (Huete et al. 2002). The level 3 spatial and temporal gridded vegetation index products are composites of daily surface reflectances. They are generated at 500m, 1km, and 0.05° (~5.6km) every 8 days (quasi), 16 days, and calendar month. Three vegetation index (VI) algorithms are produced globally for land:

- The standard Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), referred to as the “continuity index” to the existing NOAA-AVHRR and MODIS derived NDVI. At the time of S-NPP launch
there was nearly a 30-year NDVI record from AVHRR and MODIS (1981- and 2000-). VIIRS NDVI will extend this long term data record for use in operational monitoring studies.

- The second is the "Enhanced" vegetation index (EVI) with improved sensitivity over dense vegetation conditions (Huete et al. 1997, Saleska et al. 2007).
- The third index is a backward compatible 2-band EVI2 index based on the red and NIR only (Jiang et al. 2008) and is a modified EVI that eliminates dependency on the blue band and addresses some of the operational EVI issues.

These VIs complement each other in the context of global vegetation studies and provide for better extraction of canopy biophysical parameters. These products are generated by a suite of improved EOS-MODIS compositing science algorithms, based on the Constrained View Angle Maximum Value Composite. The representative pixel-day output is selected as close to nadir as possible to minimize the impact of variable viewing geometry (Bidirectional Reflectances) and standardize the data as practically as possible while retaining full traceability to the sensor observations. Each pixel generated at the respective resolution will have a NDVI, EVI, EVI2, Red, NIR, Blue, Green, three SWIR surface reflectances, the sensor and solar zenith, relative azimuth, pixel quality assurance parameters, and a pixel reliability metric that indicates the suitability of the data for research and applications. The product suite is designed to support consistent, precise, seasonal and inter-annual, monitoring of the Earth’s vegetation and is capable of detecting change and support the derivation of many structural and biophysical vegetation parameters.

2.1. **Key Science Applications of the Vegetation Index**

Vegetation indices have a long history of use in a wide range of disciplines, owing to their simplicity and long list of applications:

- Inter- and intra-annual global to local vegetation monitoring;
- Gross and Net primary production and carbon cycle and balance via empirical correlation;
- Global biogeochemical, climate, and hydrologic modeling by providing simple methods to approximate key parameters in support of these efforts;
- Natural, anthropogenic and climate change detection;
- Agricultural activities (crop yield, plant stress, ET, precision agriculture);
- Drought studies;
- Landscape disturbances (Infestations, Fires, deforestation, weather related land cover disturbances, etc.);
- Land cover and land cover change products;
- Biophysical estimates of vegetation parameters (%Green Cover, fAPAR, LAI);
- Public health issues (vector borne diseases, valley fever, etc.);
- New innovative topics that uses VI as a proxy to answer questions (bird migration, biome shifts, even ant colonies dynamics, etc.).

3. **Vegetation Indices**

Two key Climate Data Records (CDR), or Earth Science Data Records (ESDR) (naming convention differences reflect NOAA and international community and NASA nomenclatures) identified in a NASA white paper on Vegetation Indices (Huete et al. 2006, Friedl et al. 2006) are the NDVI and EVI (or EVI2). Both of these data records are now standard measurements generated from multiple sensors, including MODIS since 2000 (Huete et al. 2002), from AVHRR since 1982, and now VIIRS since 2012 (Vargas et al. 2013) aboard S-NPP and eventually aboard the Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS) mission (Welsch et al. 2001).

A key parameter of the Earth system is Net Primary Productivity (NPP) as it describes the seasonal carbon cycle (Dungan et al. 1994, Guan et al. 2015). Terrestrial NPP measures the rate of
CO₂ uptake by green active vegetation through the process of photosynthesis minus the respiration. NPP exerts a significant influence on the climate system and its seasonal and interannual changes are fundamental inputs to models of global climate and global change (Saleska et al. 2007, Huete et al. 2008, Nemani et al. 2003). Whereas accurate in situ measurements of NPP are usually the norm for plot size studies, the extrapolation of this parameter to its broader spatial scale cannot be considered without approximation and/or modelling. For over 35 years remote sensing provided the only appropriate tool to estimating and modeling this entity (Running et al. 2002, Prince et al. 1991, Nemani et al. 2003, Huete et al. 2008). The estimation is either through complex biogeochemical modeling (Nemani et al. 2003) or by using vegetation indices as proxies (Prince et al. 1994, Myneni et al. 1997, Huete et al. 2008, Saleska et al. 2016, Guan et al. 2015). The vegetation index time series is intended for monitoring the annual change in vegetation health, productivity, and phenology, while providing a solid foundation for exploring long-term trends and disturbances in vegetation resulting from global climate alterations and anthropogenic pressure (Eidenshink, 1992, Myneni et al. 1997, Saleska et al. 2007). There are many vegetation index formulations, but most are based on the ratio of near-infrared and red surface reflectances. While, NDVI values, or from any other index, are not intrinsic physical quantity (Tucker 1979, Huete et al. 2002) research has shown that VIs can be used for the accurate characterization of vegetation due to its strong relationship to particular biochemical and physical properties of plants, such as Leaf Area Index (LAI), Absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (APAR), fractional vegetation cover (FVC), and gross primary production (GPP) and biomass/yield.

The theoretical basis for empirical-based vegetation indices is derived from examination of typical spectral reflectance signatures of leaves (Fig. 4). The reflected energy in the visible is very low as a result of high absorption by photosynthetically active pigments (chlorophyll), with maximum absorption values in the blue (470 nm) and red (670 nm) wavelengths. Whereas, most of the near-infrared radiation (NIR) is scattered (reflected and transmitted) with very little absorption, in a manner dependent upon the structural properties of a canopy (LAI, leaf angle distribution, leaf morphology) (Tucker 1979, Rouse et al. 1973). As a result, the contrast between red and near-infrared responses is a sensitive measure of vegetation amount, with maximum red−NIR differences occurring over a full canopy and minimal contrast over targets with little or no vegetation. For low and medium amounts of vegetation, the contrast is a result of both red and NIR changes, while at higher amounts of vegetation, only the NIR contributes to increasing contrasts as the red band becomes saturated due to chlorophyll absorption.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** Plants absorb and reflect light differently depending on the wavelength and plant health status. The photosynthetic process absorbs most of the visible light (blue-red region) and vegetation reflect much of the near-infrared (NIR). These differences permit the separation of healthy from stressed plants and/or other objects. The inset shows the position of the various spectral bands for the three main sensors AVHRR,
Spectral vegetation indices are among the most widely used satellite data products providing key measurements for climate, phenology, hydrologic, and biogeochemical studies, and land cover/land cover change detection. There is currently a consistent NDVI record extending for more than 3 decades from the NOAA AVHRR series (Gutman et al. 1995, Brown et al. 2006), which have contributed significantly to the advancement of Earth System Science, in particular to global biome, agricultural primary production; interannual fluctuations and impacts of ENSO and other climatic disturbances, especially droughts, on primary production; phenology; and climate change and variability. Compared with other land products, and due to their simplicity, VI’s are more readily fused across sensor systems facilitating an underlying need to ensure continuity of critical data sets to study climate-related processes.

3.1. Theory of Vegetation Indices

The red-NIR contrast can be quantified through the use of ratios (NIR/red), differences (NIR-red), weighted differences (NIR-k•red), linear band combinations (x1•red+x2•NIR), or a hybrid combination. Vegetation indices are measures of this contrast and thus are integrative functions of canopy structural (%cover, LAI, LAD) and physiological (pigments, photosynthesis) parameters.

Many studies have explored how red and near-infrared (NIR) reflected energy interacts with the canopy, particularly the photosynthetically active leaves and how that relates to the amount of green material within the canopy (Colwell, 1974, Tucker, 1979). Because photosynthesis is mostly driven by the visible part of light (blue to red, 0.4-0.7um) most of that energy is then absorbed with little reflected back depending on biomass, and this is due to chlorophyll absorption (Myneni, 1994; Gamon et al. 1995; Running and Nemani, 1988). On the other hand, the NIR energy part of the spectrum is mostly scattered by the healthy turgid leaves, either through reflection or transmission through the canopy. This process of interaction, that starts with incident solar irradiance travelling through the atmosphere to the canopies, will depend on the atmospheric composition, conditions, background, canopy structure and composition, and angular considerations (Bidirectional Reflectance, change of the magnitude of reflected light based on the angle of incidence and view) (Morton et al. 2014, Schaff et al. 2002). With the same amount of active green biomass on the ground the amount of reflected energy change with these factors making it challenging to use it directly as a simple measure of plant biophysical characteristics. Single band values are then of little practical and operational use for vegetation monitoring on a global basis. A work around this challenge is to combine two or more reflectance from different spectral regions into an equation or ‘vegetation index’ (VI).

The simple ratio (SR) proposed by Jordan (1969) divides the NIR (radiance, digital count, or corrected/uncorrected reflectance) by the ‘red’ reflectance. SR follows this formulation:

$$\text{SR} = \frac{\rho_{\text{nir}}}{\rho_{\text{red}}}$$

(1)

Because red light is mostly absorbed by photosynthesis, the reflected portion measured by the sensor over dense canopies becomes very small and the ratio, which is unbounded, becomes too high to make much sense.

3.1.1. Normalized Difference and Enhanced Vegetation Indices

Deering (1978) proposed a simple normalization procedure that limits the index. This resulting index, called Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) standardizes the VI values to between [-1 and +1], and is expressed as:
\[
\text{NDVI} = \frac{\rho_{\text{NIR}} - \rho_{\text{red}}}{\rho_{\text{NIR}} + \rho_{\text{red}}}
\]

where \( \rho \) can be digital counts, radiances, top of atmosphere (TOA) apparent reflectances, Top of canopy surface radiances, surface reflectances, or even hemispherical spectral albedos. For most terrestrial land cover, except snow and water, the lower boundary of this NDVI index approaches zero. However, depending on the atmosphere status, soil or canopy background color, NDVI yields slightly different values for the same canopy and surface conditions (Jackson and Huete, 1991).

As a ratio, the NDVI has the advantage of minimizing certain types of band-correlated noise (positively-correlated) and influences attributed to variations in direct/diffuse irradiance, clouds and cloud shadows, sun and view angles, topography, and atmospheric attenuation. Ratioing can also reduce, to a lesser degree, calibration issues (Rao et al. 1994; Vermote et al. 1995, Huette et al. 2002) bidirectional reflectance distribution dependencies, and instrument-related noise and errors. The extent to which ratioing can reduce noise is dependent upon the correlation of noise between red and NIR responses and the degree to which the surface exhibits Lambertian behavior. While the NDVI Range is theoretically between -1 and 1, for most land vegetation the [0-1] range is the practical dynamic range, with [-1 -0] being a residual range resulting from the formulation with very limited meaningful application in wetlands studies, inland water, water color, snow/ice discrimination. For all practical purpose VIs are mostly considered in the [0-1] range, in particular in the areas not prone to persistent snow cover.

The main disadvantage of ratio-based indices tends to be their non-linearities exhibiting asymptotic behaviors, which leads to insensitivities to vegetation variations over certain land cover conditions (Huete 1988). Ratios also fail to account for the spectral dependencies of additive atmospheric (path radiance) effects, canopy-background interactions, and canopy bidirectional reflectance anisotropies, particularly those associated with canopy shadowing.

Studies have shown NDVI to be strongly related to Leaf Area Index (LAI), green biomass, and fAPAR (Tucker et al. 1981; Asrar et al. 1984; Sellers 1985; Running and Nemani, 1988; Gowar and Huemmrich, 1992, Myneni and Williams 1994). Relationships between fAPAR and NDVI have been shown to be near linear (Pinter, 1993; Begue, 1993; Wiegand et al. 1991; Daughtry et al. 1992; Myneni and Williams 1994). This is in contrast to the non-linear correlation with LAI, especially when LAI >= 2.

NDVI is usually derived from atmospherically corrected (for ozone absorption, water vapor, molecular scattering, and aerosols) Top of Canopy (TOC) (Huette et al. 2002), and more recently viewing geometry normalized reflectance (Zhang et al. 2003). The ‘ratioing’ capabilities of NDVI cancel out large proportion of signal variations that result from calibration, noise, atmosphere, and changing sun - target-satellite angles, and topography. Seasonal profiles of the NDVI time series depict vegetation activity and enables interannual and intra-annual comparisons of these profiles.

The NDVI profiles depict the growing season phenologic activity, including the start, end, and length of the growing season (White et al. 2009). A 10-year time series record of consistent AVHRR-NDVI showed for the first time how the northern Boreal forests growing season has increased by nearly 2 weeks (Myneni et al. 1997). Similar NDVI seasonal profiles over Africa showed how desert expands and contracts in the Sahel (Tucker et al. 1986) in response to rainfall variability. Integrated over the seasonal cycle, NDVI has been correlated with Net Primary Productivity (NPP) (Running and Nemani, 1988; Prince, 1991; Justice et al. 1985; Gowar et al. 1991; Tucker and Sellers, 1986). A multitude of other studies have shown the NDVI to proxy the carbon fixation by plants, land cover change, land use, evapotranspiration (Raich and Schlesinger, 1992; Fung et al. 1987; Sellers, 1985; Asrar et al. 1984; Running et al. 1988; Running, 1990; IGBP, 1992). More recently NDVI has been used to proxy bird migration (Nietos et al. 2015; Kelly et al. 2016), vector borne
3.1.2. Enhanced Vegetation Index and the 2-band EVI (EVI2)

The biophysical performance of satellite VI measures of greenness has been consistently tested and proved useful and well correlated with continuous flux tower measurements of photosynthesis (Huete et al. 2006; Xiao et al. 2005, Rahman et al. 2005, Wu et al. 2016), which provide valuable information about the carbon cycle, phenology, and the seasonal and inter-annual changes in ecosystems. An accurate depiction of seasonal vegetation dynamics is a desired prerequisite for accurate ecosystem modelling, and improves confidence in VI products and model capabilities to predict longer term, inter-annual vegetation responses to climate variability. While the utility of the NDVI has been well established in climate science, one major weakness is its nonlinear behavior and saturation (Fig. 5) in high biomass vegetated areas (Huete et al. 2002; Ünsalan & Boyer, 2004; Gitelson, 2004; Vaiopoulos et al. 2004). Reduction of saturation effects and improved linearity adds to the observed accuracy in estimating biophysical parameters from the VI values and provides a mechanism for multi-sensor (resolution) scaling of VI values.

The enhanced vegetation index (EVI) was developed to optimize the vegetation signal with improved sensitivity in high biomass regions and improved vegetation monitoring through a decoupling of the canopy background signal and a reduction in atmosphere influences (Huete et al. 1997; Huete et al. 2002).

\[ EVI = G \frac{\rho_{NIR} - \rho_{Red}}{\rho_{NIR} + C_1 \cdot \rho_{Red} - C_2 \cdot \rho_{Blue} + L} \]

Where \( \rho_x \) are the full or partially atmospherically corrected (for Rayleigh scattering and ozone absorption) surface reflectances; \( L \) is the canopy background adjustment that addresses nonlinear, differential NIR and red radiant transfer through a canopy (based on Beer’s law), and \( C_1, C_2 \) are the coefficients of the aerosol resistance term, which uses the blue band to correct for aerosol influences in the red band. The coefficients adopted for MODIS and S-NPP VIIRS were \( L=1 \), \( C_1=6 \), \( C_2=7.5 \), and \( G \) (gain factor) =2.5.

Figure 5: NDVI saturation, illustrated by the region of the curve where NDVI values remain unchanged or change little while NIR & Red change in response to canopy structure and biochemistry changes.
EVI has been used recently in a wide variety of studies, including those on land cover/land cover change (Wardlow et al. 2007), estimation of vegetation biophysical parameters (Chen et al. 2004; Houborg et al. 2007), phenology (Zhang et al. 2003, 2006; Xiao et al. 2006; Ahl et al. 2006, Huete et al. 2008), Evapotranspiration (Nagler et al. 2005), biodiversity (Waring et al. 2006), the estimation of gross primary production (GPP) (Rahman, et al. 2005; Sims et al. 2006), and for detecting the seasonality in the tics (Saleska et al. 2007; Saleska et al. 2016, Wu et al. 2016).

Comparisons of temporally aggregated flux tower measures of photosynthesis with satellite VI measures of greenness (Fig. 6) have shown a strong seasonal correspondence with the Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) from MODIS and SPOT-VGT sensors (Xiao et al. 2004, 2005; Rahman et al. 2005; Sims et al. 2006, Huete et al. 2008). An example of this tight coupling at the Harvard Forest site is shown in Fig. 6. In the case of NDVI, there is some saturation and an overestimation of GPP. MODIS and SPOT-VGT EVI were also shown to depict phenology cycles in dense Amazon rainforests for the first time, confirmed by a strong linear and consistent relationship between seasonal EVI and tower-calibrated GPP measurements of carbon fluxes in both intact rainforest and forest conversion to pasture/agriculture sites in the Amazon (Huete et al. 2006; Xiao et al. 2005).

![Figure 6. MODIS and SPOT VGT EVI are consistent in their phenological depiction of temperate and tropical ecosystems, providing in-situ based methods for assessment of VI performance and capabilities. a) 16-day MODIS VI’s plotted with in-situ 16-day GPP flux measures at Harvard forests. b) Seasonal correspondence of MODIS EVI with tower flux measures of GPP in both intact rainforest (top) and forest conversion to pasture/agriculture (bottom) (Huete et al. 2006).](image)

However, not all sensors (ex: AVHRR) have a blue band and the VIIRS blue band (band M3 at 478 - 498 nm) is spectrally different from EOS-MODIS counterpart (459 – 479 nm), which introduces potential differences. Addressing these issues may require adjusting the EVI coefficients (C2) for each new sensor to mitigate this continuity issue. Adjusting the EVI algorithm to work with other sensors’ blue band will create problems in the long run. Additionally, 1) changing the C2 coefficient will add further confusion to what is supposed to be simple VI science algorithms creating further problems with backward compatibility with AVHRR and VIIRS, and future sensors that may yet again change the blue band, 2) some of the known issues in the EVI make adjusting the blue band C2 coefficient inadequate as it leaves other EVI problems.
unresolved (Ex: poor performance over snow/ice and residual clouds). This suggested a need for a new formulation of EVI that addresses the Blue bands issues and preserves the advantages of EVI. A new EVI formulation, based on only two bands (red and NIR), was proposed by Jiang et al. 2008 evaluated, and successfully adopted to S-NPP VIIRS and to a MEASURES multi-sensors VI record (Didan et al. 2016).

3.1.3. The Compatibility 2-band EVI2 Algorithm

Recent cross-sensor studies have shown the feasibility of NDVI and EVI translation across several sensors systems (Gallo et al. 2005; Miura et al. 2006; Brown et al. 2006). EVI extension, however, is limited to only sensors that carry a blue channel, which includes SPOT-VGT, SeaWiFS, VIIRS, and other instruments. In contrast to the red and NIR bands, sensor-dependent blue channels are generally not as compatible and often do not overlap, e.g., the MODIS (459-479 nm), MERIS-blue (440-450 nm), and VIIRS-blue (478-498 nm) channels do not overlap, a spectral issue that restricts the compatibility of cross-sensor EVI values. Thus, it is recommended that cross-sensor algorithms should be based on VIs without a blue band (Fensholt et al. 2006, Jiang et al. 2008).

Since the blue band in the EVI does not provide additional biophysical information about vegetation properties, rather is aimed at reducing noise and uncertainties associated with highly variable atmospheric aerosols, a 2-band adaptation of EVI was developed to be compatible with EVI (Huete et al. 2006, Jiang et al. 2008). An earlier version of the 2-band EVI (EVI2) was used as the “backup algorithm” for MODIS EVI product for cases when the blue band yields problematic VI values, mainly over dense snow, or pixel with extensive subpixel clouds. The EVI2 remains functionally equivalent to the EVI, although slightly more prone to aerosol noise, which is becoming less significant with continuing advancements in atmosphere correction (Vermote et al. 2002, Lyapustin et al. 2012).

EVI2 is a 2-band adaptation of the EVI that eliminates the need for blue band, considering the blue provides no additional biophysical information and removing it does not compromise the index biophysical significance (Jiang et al. 2008). The 2-band adaptation of EVI is fully compatible with the 3-band standard EOS-MODIS EVI. The advantages of EVI2 is that it remains functionally equivalent to the 3-band EVI. and is based on a linearization method (Jiang et al. 2008) and geometrical analysis of spectral angles in the red-near infrared reflectance space.

The EVI2 is based on a linearization method and geometrical analysis of spectral angles in the red-Near infrared reflectance space (Fig. 7).

Figure 7. The isolines of the EVI/SAVI and their angles in red-NIR reflectance space

A linearized vegetation index (LVI) comparable to the EVI is obtained by adjusting the constant angle $\pi/4$ to a variable angle $\beta$, or soil background adjustment factor,

$$LVI(\beta) = \tan \left[ \arctan \left( \frac{SAVI}{1+L} \right) + \beta \right]$$

Where: $\beta$ describes a line across E deviating from the soil line in clockwise direction in Fig. 7. The LVI value of the soil line, $Y=X$, ($LVI_0$) is, $LVI_0 = \tan(\beta)$, which is described as,

$$LVI = G \left[ \tan (\alpha + \beta) - \tan \beta \right] = G \frac{(N - R)}{N + R \tan(\pi/4 + \beta) + L/(1 - \tan \beta)}$$
Where a gain factor, \( G' \), is multiplied in order to maintain the amplitude of the LVI as that of the EVI,

\[
G = \frac{G' \sec^2 \beta}{(1 - \tan \beta)} \tag{6}
\]

With optimal \( \beta \) and \( G \), the differences between the LVI values and the EVI values could be minimized and become very small when atmospheric effects are insignificant and this optimal LVI is used as the 2-band EVI, i.e. EVI2 (Jiang et al. 2008). For a given combination of \( L \) and \( \beta \), there is a single, optimal \( G \) that minimizes mean absolute difference (MAD) between EVI and EVI2, which results in \( G = 2.5 \) similar to the standard 3-band EVI, and the optimal parameter values for the EVI2 equation becomes:

\[
EVI2 = 2.5 \frac{\rho_{NIR} - \rho_{red}}{\rho_{NIR}^2 + 2.4 \rho_{red} + 1} \tag{7}
\]

The resulting relationship between EVI and EVI2 show their strong correspondence for the entire range of values (Fig. 8). The coefficient of determination between EVI and EVI2 is high (\( R^2 = 0.9986 \)) with the Mean Absolute Difference (MAD) of 0.00346 reflectance units. It is important to note that because the 2-band EVI lacks the blue band it becomes prone to atmosphere contamination, although with modern atmosphere correction this issue is minimal, while maintaining the other advantages of EVI, being the minimization of background variation and the additional canopy sensitivity.

![Figure 8. a) Relationship between EVI and EVI2 with 15-year MODIS and 5-year VIIRS data from 40 test validation sites. The correlation is almost 1:1, except for few EVI outliers. Data was strictly filtered.](image)

\[\text{VIIRS} \quad R^2=0.998\]

\[\text{Validation using MODIS images}\]

\[\text{Time series at EOD land validation core sites}\]

\[\text{MAD=0.0045, standard deviation=0.0065}\]
EVI3 and EVI2 show a strong correspondence throughout the entire range of values and the global coefficient of determination between EVI3 and EVI2 is $r^2=0.998$ with a mean absolute difference of 0.004. This suggests and validates the decision of adopting EVI2 (requiring only red and NIR) as an effective backward (older sensors) and forward (newer sensors) compatible index for EVI (EVI3) and supports using it as a better long term alternative for VIIRS. This simplifies the continuity question, especially for EVI across sensors (Didan et al. 2010 & 2016, Marshall et al. 2016).

4. Compositing

VIs are usually generated from multi-day data through a process of composting (Holben 1986) to help remove clouds and minimize atmosphere contaminants (Huete et al. 2002). The process of selecting a representative day from a period of days is called ‘compositing’. Compositing is typically performed over a pre-set period of days (7 [week], 8 [half the revisit period of a typical satellite], 10, 15 [bi monthly], 16 [synchronized with the satellite revisit, case of Terra/Aqua or S-NPP], etc... days) related to atmosphere (to minimize clouds). Daily data are analyzed to select a single cloud and gap free mosaic of pixels with minimal atmospheric and a sun-target-sensor close to nadir as possible (Holben, 1986, Huete et al. 2002). Moderate and coarse resolution sensors, such as MODIS, AVHRR (Agbu et al. 1994), Systeme Pour l’Observation de la Terre 4-VEGETATION (SPOT4-VEGETATION, Archard et al. 1994), SeaWiFS (Sea-Viewing Wide Field-of-View Sensor; Hooker et al. 1992), GLI (Global Imager; Nakajima et al. 1998), VIIRS (Justice et al. 2013) acquire global bi-directional radiance data of the Earth’s surface under different solar illumination angles (Schaff et al. 2002) which introduce additional variability that need to be addressed (Morton et al. 2014) or mitigated.

Whereas, AVHRR-NDVI time series was never a standard product, rather was produced differently by different groups using the Maximum Value Compositing (MVC) technique (Holben 1986). This algorithm selects the daily observations with the highest NDVI value, as maximizing NDVI effectively eliminates all data issues (NDVI tend to decrease with clouds and other atmosphere issues). This procedure has minimal data quality checks (Goward et al. 1994; Eidenshink and Faundeer, 1994) as none was present in AVHRR data at the time. Residual cloud and atmospheric contamination tend to lower NDVI values, and hence a maximum NDVI would theoretically select the least cloudy and contaminated pixel. Moreover, the influence of atmospheric contamination and residual cloud cover increases with optical path length, hence the MVC tends to select the observation with the smallest view zenith angle (least optical path length), and smallest solar zenith angle although this angle does not change a lot during the composite period and is location and season dependent. This process standardizes the selected values (Holben 1986; Cihlar et al. 1994a) and minimizes most issues.
MVC works nicely over near-Lambertian surfaces where the primary source of pixel variations within a composite cycle is associated with atmosphere contamination and path length, however, its major shortcoming is that the anisotropic, bi-directional influences of the surface is not adequately considered or corrected. The bidirectional spectral behavior of numerous, ‘global’ land cover types and terrestrial surface conditions are highly anisotropic due to canopy structure, mutual shadowing, and background and soil contributions (Kimes et al. 1985; Leeuwen et al. 1994; Vierling et al. 1997). And while, ratioing of the NIR and red spectral bands to compute vegetation indices minimizes the surface anisotropy it does not remove surface anisotropy (Walter-Shea et al. 1997, Morton et al. 2014, Schaff et al. 2002) due to the spectral dependence of the BRDF response (Gutman, 1991; Roujean et al. 1992). The atmosphere counteracts and dampens the surface BRDF signal, mainly through the increasing path lengths associated with off-nadir view angles and/or sun angles. The maximum NDVI value selected is thus, related to both the bidirectional properties of the surface and the atmosphere, which renders the MVC-based selection unpredictable. The MVC favors cloud free pixels, but does not necessarily pick the pixel closest to nadir or with the least atmospheric contamination. Although the NDVI tends to increase for atmospherically corrected reflectance, it does not mean that the highest NDVI is an indication of the best atmospheric correction. Many studies show the MVC approach to select off-nadir pixels with large, forward-scatter (more shaded) view angles and large solar zenith angles, which are not always cloud-free or atmosphere clear (Goward et al. 1991; Cihlar et al. 1994b, 1997). This degrades the potential use of the VI for consistent and accurate comparisons of global vegetation types.

The MVC method tends to work better with atmospherically uncorrected data (Cihlar et al. 1994a), although numerous inconsistencies result (Gutman, 1991; Goward et al. 1991, 1994; Cihlar et al. 1994b, 1997). The MVC approach becomes even less appropriate with atmospherically-corrected data sets, since the anisotropic behavior of surface reflectances and vegetation indices is stronger (Cihlar et al. 1994b). The influence of surface anisotropy and bidirectional reflectances on the VI composited products becomes more pronounced with atmospherically corrected surface reflectances (Cihlar et al.1994a). Under many atmosphere conditions, the nadir view direction may produce the lowest VI value.

Alternatives to simply choosing the highest NDVI value over a compositing period, include integrating or averaging all cloud-free pixels in the period. Meyer et al. (1995) argued the importance and impact of surface anisotropy and sun/sensor geometry on the NDVI (from AVHRR), and suggested that averaging all high quality NDVI values was superior to the MVC approach. The Best Index Slope Extraction (BISE; Viovy et al. 1992) method reduces noise in NDVI time series by selecting against spurious high values and through a sliding compositing cycle.

Huete et al. (2002) proposed a modified Maximum Value Compositing (MVC) technique that relies on still maximizing the NDVI value, but preceded by a process of data filtering based on ancillary quality assurance (QA) information, the method is enhanced further by minimizing the viewing geometry. The pixel observations are analyzed to retain only the highest quality days based on the per pixel QA. The observation corresponding to the smallest view angle is then selected from the top 2/3 NDVI Values if possible. The method performance depends on the prevalence of clouds in particular. Over the tropics and the Taiga regions the lack of cloud free data reduces the method to the classical MVC, over other regions where clouds are not as problematic the method tends to select lower view angle whenever possible (Huete et al. 2002).

At the heart of producing science quality remote sensing data from frequently cloudy, noisy, atmosphere contaminated daily observations with spatial gaps is a production algorithm for multi-day compositing. Compositing evolved from a simple cloud screening approach based on the maximum value composite of NDVI images (Holben, 1986) to a robust quality assurance (QA) driven algorithm that also reduces aerosol influences and minimizes BRDF impacts by constraining the
view angle. The compositing algorithm used for EOS-MODIS VI is the Quality Assurance (QA) driven Constrained View Angle (CV) Maximum Value Composite (QA CV-MVC, Huete et al. 2002) and will select the best observation from a preset number of days. The method first eliminates cloudy and poor data based on the per-pixel QA and then selects the top 2/3 NDVI observations (MVC), to finally selects the observation with the smallest view angle (Figure 10).

![Compositing procedure for a typical pixel with multiple observations](image)

**Figure 10:** QA CV-MVC compositing algorithm. Daily data are QA analyzed and arranged into classes from best (left, stable with minimum noise) to worst (right, noisy data). The observation with the smallest zenith angle from the n-highest NDVI is selected. ‘n’ is usually set to 2 or 3 (Huete et al. 2002).

This implementation minimizes sensor view variations associated with the anisotropic surface reflectance properties (i.e., BRDF) and helps reduce spatial and temporal discontinuities in the composited product. This same method implemented in EOS-Terra/Aqua MODIS VI compositing algorithm is used to generate the VIIRS VI time series with some minor enhancements.

One of the issues associated with compositing data using the CV-MVC method is the assumption that picking the observation with the lowest view zenith angle from the top ‘n’ NDVI observations guarantees the best observation. While that may be true in terms of BRDF, and makes sense if the top NDVI values are only slightly different due to BRDF, in many instances using the view angle to pick the best observation leads to selecting the lower NDVI and sometimes even poor quality data (when there is limited number of good observations only to choose from). With VIIRS, we introduced a work around based on the use of View Angle bins. By grouping the data into view angle bins of [0-30°] and [> 30°] and then using the MVC we guarantee selecting the best possible observation based on maximizing the NDVI with a reasonable view angle, assuming view angle < 30 degrees insurances minimum BRDF and bowtie effect (which is already less problematic in VIIRS – Fig. 16).

The VIIRS VI algorithm performance, as was the case with MODIS, depends heavily on the provision of QA flags, with VIIRS using an identical per-pixel QA approach to the EOS-MODIS QA flags we expect the algorithms performance to be generally similar. There remains some QA performance issues in VIIRS, such as cloud and snow/ice (Vargas et al. 2013), but are expected to be addressed with new iterations of VIIRS reprocessing (Justice et al. 2013).

### 4.1. Composite Algorithm Spatial Considerations

The EOS-MODIS and Suomi-NPP-VIIRS sensor-viewing configuration, while slightly different, results in considerable spatial overlap between orbits when away from the Equator. VIIRS controls
the pixel growth rate at the edge of the scan, which in turn minimizes the bow-tie effects. The sensors field of view or swath does not line up with the projection (Sinusoidal) grid pixels (Fig. 11 & 12), which has spatial implications that needs to be addressed during compositing to capture the grid pixel proper biophysical content. VIIRS compositing algorithm uses the EOS-MODIS VI ‘weighted average pixels’ scheme to reconstruct the grid/pixel from all the finer L2/L2G overlapping observations. The VI algorithm suite makes use of all available observations rather than retaining only the observation with the maximum overlap (some Land algorithms use this strategy).

Figure 11: VIIRS viewing geometry and observations layout. Not to scale and orientation and layout are only informative. The lower right figure shows the relation between orbits, observations, grids, and overlap.
Figure 12: a) Level 2G processing. Each observation is assigned to a fixed grid cell along with an observation coverage that indicates the degree of overlap (b). Depending on the latitudinal location the number of observation assigned to a particular grid cell will vary and can be very high, resulting from the number of orbits (highest overlap at the poles) and the size of observation which tends to grow away from the center of the scan (Wolfe et al. 1998). The Inset (b) shows how the observation coverage is computed, and it is the area of overlap to the observation size itself. c) Daily L2G grid cell observations are reconstructed from orbital/granule data. Each grid cell will be constructed from all overlapping observations.

The construction of the grid cell follows this equation:

$$SR = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i SR_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i}$$  (8)

where SR is the surface reflectance of the grid pixel. SR<sub>i</sub> and \(\omega_i\) are the surface reflectance of the underlying L2 observations adjusted by their degrees of overlap.

4.2. BRDF Considerations

Although VIs can be generated with any level data, the standard now is fully atmospherically corrected and standardized surface reflectances based VIs. VIs are usually derived from calibrated radiances and are usually computed from surface directional reflectances that have been atmospherically-corrected to remove absorbing gases, molecular scattering, aerosols, and water
vapor (Justice et al. 2013). Additionally, the VI products will normally be produced under local solar zenith angle conditions that may result in second-order seasonal and latitudinal biases (Huete et al., 2006, Morton et al., 2014). Bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) considerations are traditionally not addressed during standard VI production. Similar to EOS-MODIS, the VIIRS Land product suite implemented a standardized viewing geometry correction algorithm to generate a nadir equivalent land surface reflectance (VIIRS nBAR [VNP43 suite], Schaaf et al. 2002). While this product standardized the view angle to nadir, the sun angle was only standardized to the local solar noon since no fixed global sun angle could be adopted. This product is available to users who wish to generate a BRDF adjusted VI product suite (Zhang et al. 2003), however our SCF team will only generate VIs from the directional data not adjusted for viewing geometry to retain consistency with EOS-MODIS and to minimize the biases that may result from the choice of a BRDF correction method and loss of traceability to sensor data, since a BRDF adjusted data is modeled and not a true sensor collected observations.

Other potential venue to dealing with the BRDF is the adoption of surface reflectance inputs corrected by the MAIAC approach (Lyapustin et al. 2012) and/or a hybrid method like the one proposed by Morton et al. (2014). No standardized single method or model for BRDF correction exists, although all are based on the same principles. For these reasons, our suite of algorithms will not attempt to explicitly and directly correct for BRDF effects except by constraining the view angle during compositing. This leaves the users the option of exercising their preferred and research/project specific BRDF correction model (Morton et al., 2014). Furthermore, if and when the VIIRS Land team, in particular the surface reflectance science team(s), start to generate a consistent operational BRDF corrected surface reflectance suite our algorithm will ingest these data and produce a BRDF- standardized VIIRS VI product suite.

4.3. VIIRS Output observations QA and Pixel Reliability

All S-NPP VIIRS Land products use a per-pixel QA system of flags to characterize the atmosphere and inform about processing which is very similar to EOS-MODIS approach. A pixel is assigned a list of QA attributes (cloud, cloud shadow, aerosol load, snow/ice, land water mask, etc.) stored in binary bit fields. These QA attributes assist with post processing and provide users with a systematic approach to analyze the data and decide what to retain and discard. As robust and comprehensive as this information is, average users found it quite challenging to work with because:

- Complexity of QA data structure, especially the use of binary bit fields that require special tools to work with; and
- No unique method on how to work with or combine these QA flags into operational data post-processing scheme. In most cases, users used only few of these flags or even used them improperly.

This made the per-pixel QA information a challenge to work with for a large segment of the user community. A solution was proposed for the EOS-MODIS VI (Didan & Huete, 2006) to help synthesize this QA information into a simple ordinal rank. This is particularly important as the VIIRS mission takes shape and draws from the EOS-MODIS experience. Reanalysis of the MODIS VI product record showed that apart from cloud and snow/ice, aerosol, shadow and
viewing geometry were the most problematic, yet users assume they are properly corrected in the atmosphere correction algorithm. We enhanced the original method (Fig. 13) and implemented additional classes in VIIRS VI data record. In addition to the standard QA, each pixel will have this simple rank or reliability index number to help decide if it is of any use to post-processing.

![Diagram Flow (V4)](image)

Figure 13: VIIRS Per Pixel Reliability assignment scheme. Some rank classes are specific to the CMG product suite (gap filling).

This data reliability index considers the significance of each QA field and combines this information into a single rank value. The metric indicates the usefulness of the data while eliminating a great deal of inconsistency related to using QA flags. An evaluation of this metric for EOS-MODIS (Didan & Huete, 2006) indicates that this per-pixel reliability index was effective and easy to use. The standard QA flags will continue to be part of the products and users can still apply their own QA post-analysis approach.

4.4. Spatial gaps filling

A large percentage of composited data will be of no science value to applications or research due to the presence of clouds and/or other atmosphere contaminants, particularly over the tropics and in high latitude regions where these problems are persistent for long periods of time. For the modelling community that require strictly high quality data, even if estimated, we only retain high fidelity data (based on QA) in the CMG product suite (Andree et al. 2004, Justice et al. 2003). This results in extensive spatial gaps that need filling. We are currently using a simple interpolation scheme based on long-term data. Using the VIIRS time series data we can generate a mean value for each date from strictly filtered data that is cloud and mostly contaminants free. In case no observations could be found (persistent clouds) we simply leave the observation empty.
As the time series grows, we expected all pixels to have a value in the long term data record that could be used to fill missing days.

5. VIIRS Overview and Instrument Characteristics

The VIIRS sensor aboard S-NPP was conceived based following the long heritage of operational and research instruments, dating back to the seventies, including:

- Advanced Very-high Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) on NOAA’s Polar-orbiting Environmental Satellites (POES).
- Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) on NASA’s Earth Observing System (EOS) Terra and Aqua satellites.
- Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS) on GeoEye’s SeaStar satellite.

The VIIRS design largely followed the success of MODIS, especially the large number of dedicated and discipline oriented bands, use onboard calibration, and other improvements including a novel pixel size control in the scan direction. And like with Terra/Aqua VIIRS orbits control eliminates the drift that plagued AVHRR.

![Figure 14: AVHRR, MODIS, and VIIRS Spectral bands](image)

5.1. VIIRS Design and characteristics

The VIIRS is a whiskbroom scanning radiometer with a 112.56° field of view in the cross-track direction. At a nominal altitude of 829 km, the swath width is 3060 km, providing full daily coverage both in the day and night side of the Earth. VIIRS has 22 spectral bands covering the region 0.412 µm to 12.01 µm (Table 1), including 16 moderate resolution bands (M-bands) with a spatial resolution of 750 m at nadir, 5 imaging resolution bands (I-bands) – 375 m at nadir, and one panchromatic DNB with a 750 m spatial resolution throughout the scan. The M-bands include 11 Reflective Solar Bands (RSB) and 5 Thermal Emissive Bands (TEBs). The I-bands include 3 RSBs and 2 TEBs (Cao et al. 2013b).

VIIRS uses six dual-gain RSBs with a wide dynamic range needed for ocean color applications, at the same time without saturating the sensor when observing high reflectance surfaces such as land and clouds. The dynamic range of the dual gain bands in high gain mode is comparable to that of the MODIS ocean color bands, while the dynamic range in the low-gain state is comparable to those of the similar MODIS land bands. The dynamic ranges across all other bands are similar to their MODIS counterparts (Cao et al. 2013b).
VIIRS uses a unique approach to control the pixel growth towards the edges of the scan line – an issue in MODIS, AVHRR, and other instruments. This results in comparable footprints from VIIRS observations from nadir to edge-of-scan.

5.2. VIIRS Geometric Characteristics

The following text is mostly from Cao et al. (2013b). Each VIIRS I (Imagery) bands has 32 detectors and each M-band has 16 detectors in the along-track direction. These are rectangular detectors with the smaller dimension in the along scan direction. This design accounts for the variable pixel growth rates in the scan and track directions. The sensors sweeps the Earth surface from about -56.28° to +56.28° view angle. Because VIIRS detector spacing is constant, the angular sampling interval is also constant. However, the corresponding horizontal sampling interval, or ground sample distance, in the along-track direction grows as the scan angle moves away from nadir, mainly due to the increased distance between the sensor and the ground, as shown in the lower panel in Figure 12. The scan width increases from 11.7 km at nadir to 25.8 km at the end of scan due to this panoramic effect, called the "bow-tie" effect. The bow-tie effect leads to scan-to-scan overlap, which start to show visibly at scan angles greater than approximately 19°, as shown in the lower panel in Figure 11. The size of overlap is more than 1 and 2 M-band pixels at scan angle greater than 31.72° and 44.86°, respectively. To save the downlink bandwidth, the radiometric readings from these pixels are not transmitted to the ground and will be assigned fill values by the ground software. This is called “bow-tie deletion”. As a result, visual artifact of “missing scan line segments” shows up in raw images if the data is displayed in sample space, as shown in the example (upper panel in Figure 11). This artifact does not appear when the image is displayed when the scan is projected (gridded) onto the Earth’s surface.

In the scan (cross-track) direction, the constant sampling time interval also results in the growth of HSI as a function of scan angle. The HSI change in the cross-track direction is even larger than that in the along-track direction because it is affected by the Earth’s curvature in addition to the increased range between the sensor and the ground. This is shown by the dotted line for the un-aggregated M-band in the upper panel in Figure 12. To minimize variation of the HSI in the scan direction, there are three pixel aggregation modes in the along-scan direction, as shown in the lower panel in Figure 11 for the case of

*Figure 15: Schematics of bow-tie effect, bow-tie deletion and aggregation scheme for single-gain M-bands (scale is exaggerated in the track direction). Upper: example of bow-tie deletion effect when the raw data is displayed in sample space.*
This aggregation scheme results in better radiometric and geometric performance for M- and I-bands in the 2-sample and 3-sample aggregation zones. This minimize the bow-tie effect observed in MODIS and eliminates some geometric issues.

5.3. VIIRS Spectral Bands

The VIIRS 22 spectral bands are optimized for either land or ocean. The nominal VIIRS spectral bands responses are in Figures 13 (a & b), which also shows AVHRR bands for comparisons.
Table 1: VIIRS Spectral, Spatial, and Radiometric Characteristics. Green circles indicate the bands used and passed to users in the VI product files. Yellow circles (M5 and M7) indicate the bands used in the earlier LPEATE versions of the 1km product suite. Most VIIRS spectral bands are comparable to those in MODIS. For the reflective bands most of VIIRS bands have wider bandwidth except for the near-infrared bands that are comparable to those from MODIS.

6. What is new in this initial S-NPP VIIRS VI Data Record release

This initial S-NPP VIIRS VI data record has gone through a series of refinements and reprocessing prior to this first public release. These changes aimed at implementing learned lessons from the EOS MODIS era in particular and introduced a series of enhancements along these topics:

- This release uses daily VIIRS L2G data (500m and 1km) as the primary input
- We currently generate three different vegetation indices: NDVI, EVI and EVI2. While EVI and EVI2 are interchangeable and identical, issues related to operational EVI generation (blue band noise, snow, sub-pixel clouds, and aerosols) required the use of an EVI backup algorithm which is based on only the red & NIR bands (EVI2, Jiang et al 2008)
- The Green and all SWIR bands are now included in the output files
- Monthly products use both Terra and Aqua like S-NPP VIIRS VI input streams
- Monthly 1km product now uses only the input pixels that actually overlap the month
- Product files are now output in HDF5-EOS5
- Improved the processing rules
- We introduced a static Land/Water mask for CMG products that minimize issues associated with the internal LA mask. The full VIIRS VI product suite will also start using an ancillary LW mask that bypasses the L2G pixel LW mask in future reprocessing.
7. **VIIRS VI Product Suite Description**

7.1. **VIIRS VI Suite Production Plan**

Figure 18 shows the current Algorithm/Product suite. Three VIs (NDVI, EVI2, and EVI) along with their input surface reflectance, QA, Viewing geometry, and ancillary info, at 500 m, 1 km, and a climate modeling grid resolution (0.05°, 5.6km), and at 16 days (VIIRS ground-track repeat cycle), monthly are generated. The blue band at 750 m will be resampled to 500m in order to generate the 500m EVI3, as was done with EOS-MODIS EVI3. These production choices are made in support of and to promote continuity with the EOS-MODIS VI record and to simplify the transition.

Figure 18: Production algorithms and dependencies. Some of these production choices will change with subsequent reprocessing.

This production scheme is an EOS-MODIS heritage that may change. The products are output in tile units that are approximately 10° x 10° in the sinusoidal grid projection, while the CMG product will be output in global geographic projection. When mosaicked, all tiles cover the globe. Each VI output file contains multiple Science Data Sets representing the per pixel, physical, viewing, and other information (NDVI, EVI3, EVI2, QA, red, NIR, blue, SWIR1-3, view angle, sun angle, and relative azimuth angle, pixel reliability, and composite DOY). All products will be stored in the HDF5-EOS format.

The S-NPP VIIRS Vegetation Index product suite is composed of:

1. **VNP13A1**: 16-day 500m VI (2 streams that are 8 days apart)
2. **VNP13A2**: 16-day 1km VI (2 streams that are 8 days apart)
3. **VNP13A3**: Monthly 1km VI
4. **VNP13C1**: 16-day 0.05deg VI (2 streams that are 8 days apart)
5. **VNP13C2**: Monthly 0.05deg VI

The first two products directly ingest daily level 2 gridded (L2G) product surface reflectance (daily VNP09A1/A2 series). The last three products are all based on the Vegetation Index VNP13A2 tiled data and uses aggregation schemes in time and/or space (Figure 18). The CMG products use a spatial averaging and reprojecting scheme from the tiled sinusoidal product suite to geographic lat/lon.
7.2. Terra and Aqua like Phased Production

While there is a single S-NPP VIIRS sensor and data stream, we are generating the 16-day VIIRS VI products 8 days apart to create identical streams to MODIS Terra and Aqua and assist the users during the transition period. In general, we follow the sensor/satellite repeat cycle of 16 days, however producing Terra and Aqua on the same composite day forces users to select one stream only given their high similarity. To separate the two streams (T & A) the EOS MODIS VI generates the product suite 8 days apart to help increase their temporal frequency. In production this scheme generates a Terra like time series on the usual DOY cycles (01/01, 01/17, 02/02, etc.). Aqua like VIIRS data is then generated on DOY 01/09, 01/25, 02/10, etc. To accommodate this processing arrangement (Fig. 19), the production rules are:

- For VIIRS 500m/1km
  - Start January 1st and process all days till January 16th (16 days) and get one product output on DOY 01/01 (Terra like stream)
  - Then process data between January 9th till Jan. 24th (16 days) and get another product output DOY 01/09 (Aqua like stream)
  - Repeat the process moving 8 days forward
  - At the end of the year and to complete the 16-day composite period supplement the last 16-day cycles with data from the new year
- For the 1km monthly and since it is a calendar month:
  - Process all 16-day products for that calendar month and generate one VIIRS monthly product
  - Each month will either ingest 5 or 6 16-day products
  - Only if the composite DOY of the pixel intersects the month will the pixel be used
- For the 16-day CMG, there will be two streams (8-days apart):
  - Start January 1st and process all 16-day tiles to get one product output on DOY 01/01
  - Ingest all 16-day tiles following the Jan. 9th, Jan. 25th, etc. to get one product output for DOY 01/09, 01/25, etc.
  - There will be one CMG each 8-day
- For the monthly CMG and since it is a calendar month, the rules are:
  - Process all the 16-day CMG products for the calendar month and generate one VIIRS monthly product
  - Each month will ingest 5 or 6x 16-day cycles

![Figure 19](image_url)

*Figure 19: The 16-day VIIRS products are generated 8 days apart, a production scheme similar to the Terra/Aqua phased production approach while based on a single sensor. This improves the temporal frequency of the product record but also creates some bias and data repeat due to the overlap.*

We evaluated the impact of this production scheme and the resulting overlap on the data and time series using daily surface reflectance processed in our Science Compositing Facility (SCF,
vip.arizona.edu) and the current (V2) versions of the VIIRS VI Algorithms.

Figure 20: Compositing DOY resulting from the 8-day overlap between the two VIIRS-VI data streams. Data from Tile h08v05 and year 2017. On average 50% of the data repeats (considering only a limited data set in space and time). However, there are temporal gains by salvaging good observations that would have been otherwise discarded when compositing every 16 days only.

Figure 21: Impact of VIIRS phased production on the monthly VI Data record (Tile h12v09 Amazon, 2017). Top images show the NDVI and data Rank, a measure of quality, the bottom images show the differences between the VI when using both data streams vs a single stream. Minor differences exist but there is no bias. The minor rank drop in the monthly product when using both data streams resulted from the Algorithm use of the worst-case scenario approach with QA.
While limited in space and time these test results show:
- Improved temporal frequency and potential benefits over areas with generally less clouds
- Around 50% redundancy (repeated days)
- The approach minimizes data losses (discarded with only 16-day composite)

Data records form these production schemes are labeled “Regular production” (in the metadata) and are compositing following the 1-16, 17-32, 33-48, etc and “Phased production” compositing following the 9-24, 25-40, 41-56, etc intervals.

All output products carry identical Science Data set layers that are quite similar to the EOS MODIS data record. Each VI product contains three vegetation indices (NDVI, EVI, EVI2), seven surface reflectance’s (Red, NIR, Blue, Green, SWIR1, SWIR2 and SWIR3), view geometry (Sensor Zenith Angle, Sun Zenith angle, and Relative Azimuth) and quality information layers (Rank and State QA). Example images of these layers are shown in Figure 22.
7.3. **File Format**

The S-NPP VIIRS Vegetation Index product files are stored in the Hierarchical Data Format-Earth Observing System (HDF5-EOS5) structure, which is the newer standard archive format for NASA EOS Data Information System (EOSDIS) products. Files contain two separate structures:

- Scientific data sets (SDS) which are actually 2-D data arrays (Row x Column or Latitude x longitude)
- And four metadata structures
  - Structural metadata that describes the content of the file,
  - Core metadata that describes the projection and grid name,
  - Archive metadata that describes miscellaneous aspects of the data and product such as dates, times, statistics about quality, etc.. that are useful for archiving and searching the products.
  - A limited set of information from the Core and Archive metadata is repeated and stored as Global file attributes, allowing easy access to and parsing of information to identify the product and contents.

All VIIRS VI products are either in tile format (10x10 degrees grids, Fig. 23) that are projected and fixed-areas. The use of metadata enhances the self-describing nature of HDF5 files and is useful to the end user, facilitating the archiving and searching of files. This metadata provides the users with general information about the file contents, its characteristics and general quality, which aids in deciding if a particular day/file is useful. There are two types of metadata attributes:

- Global attributes common to all VIIRS products, and
- Product specific attributes

7.4. **Tiled and Global Production**

While the S-NPP VIIRS collects data at 375m and 750m, the VIIRS Land team decided to keep the production spatially consistent with the EOS MODIS (Justice et al. 2013). All VIIRS VI products are generated at 3 resolutions 500m, 1km, and a Climate Modeling Grid (CMG) at 0.05 deg. (~5.6km), and in 2 projections. VI Products are generated and distributed in adjacent non-overlapping tile units that are approximately 10 degrees square (true at the equator), called the sinusoidal tile grid. There are a total of 460 tiles that are arranged into a global 36x18 grid, with horizontal (0-17) and vertical (0-17) tile numbers. Tile (0,0) is at the upper left corner of the grid and tile (35,17) at the lower right corner (Fig. 23).
Figure 23: Sinusoidal Tile Grid system. Each square is approximately 10x10 degrees and production takes place over tiles that contain land only (highlighted green in the inset). In the winter the top two tile rows are either not produced or partially produced (due to sun angle considerations).

The coarser resolution CMG products are generated in the Geographic Lat/Lon projection (Fig. 24) and combine and reproject the tiles into a single global view.

Figure 24: Global Geographic projection. These product files are generated by aggregating and reprojecting all titles.

7.5. File Naming Convention

There are two types of VIIRS VI products, Tiled and Global. The file naming convention for the VIIRS products suite is similar to the file naming used for MODIS and other EOS products. The file names are structured left to right following this nomenclature per product type:

7.5.1. Tiled Products

The VIIRS VI series contains 3 tiled products from different temporal and spatial resolutions, they all use the following filename convention, adopted to facilitate their identification, search, and order:
Table 2. Tiled product files standard name description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VNP</td>
<td>Identifies the product as a VIIRS S-NPP product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Identifies the dataset as vegetation index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AX</td>
<td>Indicates the spatial and temporal resolution (2 digits)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A1 = 500m, 16days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2 = 1km, 16days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A3 = 1km, monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2015190</td>
<td>Observation year (4-digits) followed by the first acquisition day of period (3-digits)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hXX</td>
<td>Horizontal tile number (00 to 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vXX</td>
<td>Vertical tile number (00 to 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Identifies the data product version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015195</td>
<td>Is the year the file was processed followed by the day of year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220005</td>
<td>Is the hour (2 digits), minute (2 digits) and second (2 digits) the file was processed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.h5</td>
<td>Indicates that output file is in HDF5-EOS5 format</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.5.2. Global CMG Products

The VI suite also contains two global products (VNP13C1 and VNP13C2), with a pixel resolution of 0.05° (~5.5km) in a geographical projection. The file name follows this format:

VNP13CX.A2014190.001.2015190220005.h5

Table 3. Global products standard name description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VNP</td>
<td>Identifies the product as a VIIRS product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Identifies the dataset as vegetation index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CX</td>
<td>Indicates the temporal and spatial resolution (2 digits)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1 = 0.05deg, 16days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C2 = 0.05deg, monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2015190</td>
<td>Observation year (4-digits) followed by the first acquisition day of period (3-digits)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Identifies the data product version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015195</td>
<td>Is the year the file was processed followed by the day of year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220005</td>
<td>Is the hour, minute and second the file was processed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.h5</td>
<td>Indicates that output file is in HDF-EOS5 format</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. VNP13A1 (16-day 500m)/VNP13A2 (16-day 1km) VI Products

VNP13A1 and VNP13A2 are generated from the 500m and 1km daily land surface reflectance input files using the same science algorithm, since they share and need the same input data (State QA, Viewing geometry data, Pointer files). Depending on the input files listed in the process control file (PCF, a file that parameterize the algorithm) the algorithm identifies the desired output resolution. These products ingest daily VIIRS Level-2G (L2G) surface reflectance, pointer file, geo-angle file and 1-km state file (Fig. 25). Input filenames are based on current VIIRS surface reflectance files naming convention, listed in table 4.
Table 4. VIIRS VI input files

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input file</th>
<th>Description, resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VNPPTHKDI</td>
<td>Pointer file, 500m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNPPT1KDI</td>
<td>Pointer file, 1km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP09GHKI</td>
<td>Surface reflectance, 500m (with HK denoting half kilometer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP09G1KI</td>
<td>Surface reflectance and quality flags, 1km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNPMGGAD1I</td>
<td>Geo-angle file, 1km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 25. 500m/1km VIIRS VI production data processing flow diagram

8.1. Compositing Algorithm Theoretical and Practical Considerations

NDVI and EVI2 vegetation indices algorithms are calculated using the red and NIR surface reflectance. EVI requires the use of the blue band, following the science algorithms described earlier. Only reflectance values between 0-1.0 (scaled by 10,000) are retained and used during processing. Resulting VI values are also scaled by 10,000 and range from -10,000 to 10,000 (we note the slight deviation from MODIS VI data range being -2000 to 10000). This change was implemented to deal with the VI algorithm forced to select cloudy data instead of negative VI values below the -0.2 threshold, which was observed over inland water. While both values are still of no practical use (filtered out or negative) avoiding cloudy observations is always desirable. If no valid VI value could
be obtained (i.e. red or NIR outside the normal range, gaps between orbits, bad VI values, etc...) we assign a fill value. In addition, each output pixel is characterized by a set of QA flags, to assist and help automate the post-processing. To further simplify the data post-processing, and evaluate the quality of an observation, all pixels are ranked (Fig. 26) based on data usefulness, derived from the QA flags and the viewing geometry. This pixel reliability (a term used to describe how reliable and useful an observation would be for research), or rank (Didan and Huete, 2006), summarizes the pixel quality based on its overall quality flags and how likely it will serve the end user. The categories of this ranking scheme are listed in Table 5.

Table 5. VI rank classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Label</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Label</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Snow/Ice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cloud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Estimated (For CMG products)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>LTAVG (for CMG product)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pass</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>NO_DATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Questionable</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>NO_DATA High latitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>Antarctica (no production)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Cloud Shadow</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>Water/Ocean</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 26. Data ranking algorithm flow diagram and example Rank image (DOY 001, inset)

The VI processing algorithms chain (Fig. 18) operates on a per-pixel basis and requires multiple observations (days) to generate a composited VI value. Due to orbital overlap, multiple observations may exist for one pixel per day (especially at high latitude where orbits overlap the most due to the polar orbiting nature of the satellites). In theory, this can result in more than 16 observations during the 16-day composite cycle, however, due to the presence of clouds, atmosphere contaminants, sensor orbits arrangements, the actual number is practically less.

Using a method similar to EOS MODIS VI, the NPP13A1/A2 algorithm separates all observations
by their orbits, a process needed to maintain the consistency of the viewing geometry, as
observation from different orbits are collected under different illumination conditions. The NPP VI
algorithm starts by discarding all observations that cannot support the generation of a valid VI
value, this usually concerns out of range surface reflectances (0-1), cases where the generated VI
value it outside the acceptable boundaries (-1 to 1). The remaining observation are filtered using
the various QA flags, cloud status, and viewing geometry (Fig. 27). Cloud-contaminated pixels and
extreme off-nadir observations are the least desirable, and will only be used as output in case
other observation are not present. Although outputting a cloudy pixel is counterintuitive and likely
useless, the S-NPP VIIRS Land science team, as was the case with the MODIS land science team,
adopted a strategy of outputting an observation under all conditions unless impossible (out of
range). The QA and rank will identify the quality and status of the pixel and users will likely
discard it, but there are instances where the cloud flag is wrong and the pixel is actually useful (a post
process decision) or simply the pixel could be ignored and gap filled using the end user strategy.
Eliminating all cloudy pixels and Gap filling the finer resolution products is of course possible, as
in the case of the CMG product suite, but the science team feels that leaving that decision to the
product users is more prudent at this stage. In later iterations and reprocessing of the algorithms
this decision may be changed to eliminate completely cloudy pixels and gap fill the product.

The general objective of the compositing strategy is to generate gap free, cloud-free, close to
nadir observations, with minimum atmospheric contamination (especially aerosols loads, since
correction remains challenging. Only the highest quality, cloud free, filtered observations are
retained for further consideration by the compositing algorithm. In practice, this strict process
results in few acceptable observations over a 16-day compositing period, especially when one
considers a mean global cloud cover of ~60% and the long slash and burn season in the tropics.
The goal of the compositing methodology is to select a single value from the remaining data that
will represent in 16-day period. The VI compositing technique uses very rigorous criteria under
normal-to-ideal observations conditions, but lowers the selection criteria when conditions are less
than ideal. There are two compositing sequences:

2. Backup: Maximum Value Composite (MVC, Holben 1986)

![Figure 27. VIIRS VI compositing algorithm](image)

The technique employed depends on the number and quality of observations. The MVC is
similar to that used in the AVHHR-NDVI product (Holben 1986), in which the observation with the highest NDVI value is selected to represent the entire period (16 days) based on the assumption that clouds, aerosols and other issues lower the NDVI value, and searching for the highest values will minimize those issues (Huet et al. 2002). The CV-MVC is an enhanced MVC technique. The algorithm is similar to the one used with MODIS, however it has been slightly modified for the S-NPP VIIRS to bin all observations with view angles below 30 degrees and select based on the maximum NDVI value within that bin. If no pixels are found within the 30 degrees then the algorithm relies on the original technique in which the observations with the two (or three) highest NDVI values are compared and the observation with the smallest view angle is selected to represent the 16-day composite cycle.

All compositing methodologies lead to spatial discontinuities, which are inevitable and result from the fact that disparate days can always be chosen for adjacent pixels over the 16-days period. Thus, adjacent selected pixels may originate from different days, with different sun-pixel-sensor viewing geometries and different atmospheric and residual cloud/smoke contamination. While VI ratioing tend to minimize these artefacts the spatial issues continue to be quite visible sometimes but usually less in the VI domain.

To illustrate how the compositing algorithm performs and manipulates the daily observations we will show examples from two key biomes, the high latitude (ex: Siberia) and the Tropics (ex: Amazon). Each of these examples illustrate a different aspects of the algorithm performance. High latitude tend to be prone to snow/ice and unstable surface reflectance due to the bright nature of the land, and are usually covered by many observations. The tropics usually have a smaller numbers of observations and are prone to persistent clouds and heavy aerosols.

8.1.1. Compositing steps for a high latitude pixel

In this example we illustrate the process of compositing with a pixel from the high latitude region, in Siberia:

Step 1.

Extract raw observations from the multiple orbits and assign a ranking quality value for each observation. High latitude pixels are characterized by multiple observations from multiple orbits due to overlap. Table 6 shows a series of observations from high latitude. Day of the year (DOY), ORBIT-NUM and OBS_COV are used to reconstruct the observations per orbit. The GROUP column is a summary of the quality of all observations based on clouds and aerosols loads. Observations are grouped into categories ranging from 0 to 9, with 0 (G-0) being the highest quality group and 9 (G-9) being the worst.
Table 6. Raw observations for a pixel from a high latitude location (Siberia)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOY</th>
<th>V1X</th>
<th>V1Y</th>
<th>V2X</th>
<th>V2Y</th>
<th>V3X</th>
<th>V3Y</th>
<th>RED</th>
<th>NRE</th>
<th>BLUE</th>
<th>NBL</th>
<th>RED/BLUE</th>
<th>DOY-RE</th>
<th>DOY-BL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>231</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>2821</td>
<td>2858</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>2059</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>2895</td>
<td>2928</td>
<td>2985</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>247</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
<td>2885</td>
<td>2137</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2853</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Observations highlighted red are removed because of the poor quality, while the green and white background are observations considered potential candidates for output. This information is also shown in Figure 28 as a plot. The X-axis shows the DOY sequence.
Figure 28. Single pixel (high latitude) sequence of observations considered for compositing.

Step 2.

Reconstruct observations per orbit. In this step all observations from the same orbit and same quality group are combined to generate an average observation.

Table 7. Observations per orbit.

Raw observations are reconstructed into single orbit values per day by using the observation coverage weight and orbit number. Figure 29 shows the information summary of this process.
Figure 29. Earlier orbital observations are combined into a single value per orbit.

Step 3.

Remove all low quality observations and run the compositing algorithm to select the best observation. Using the ranking index, observation with low quality information are filtered (removed) leaving only the best group of observations. Table 8 and Figure 30 show the result of this process.

Table 8. Selected observations for compositing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obs #</th>
<th>DOY</th>
<th>NDVI</th>
<th>EVI</th>
<th>EVI2</th>
<th>VZ</th>
<th>SZ</th>
<th>RED</th>
<th>NIR</th>
<th>BLUE</th>
<th>MIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>5142</td>
<td>3147</td>
<td>2983</td>
<td>3242</td>
<td>6403</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>2553</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>1423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>5031</td>
<td>3087</td>
<td>2999</td>
<td>1451</td>
<td>6210</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>2641</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>1555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>5296</td>
<td>3095</td>
<td>3083</td>
<td>3781</td>
<td>6509</td>
<td>793</td>
<td>2579</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>1198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>5033</td>
<td>2923</td>
<td>2668</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>6254</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>2473</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>1418</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 30. Selected observation

The compositing algorithm will first attempt to use observations with view angle below 30°. If observations are available the MCV will be applied to this subgroup, otherwise the CV-MCV will be applied to any retained data with any view angle. For this particular case, 2 observations have a
view angle below 30° (observation #2 and #4). However, observation #4 has the highest NDVI value and also happens to have the lowest view angle. Therefore observation #4 from DOY=238 is selected to represent the 16-day compositing period.

Note that with the MVC algorithm, observation #3 would have been selected given that it has the highest NDVI value from the preselected input observations. However, because of the view angle outside of the 30deg this observation was not selected.

8.1.2. Compositing steps for a pixel in the tropics

Table 9 and Figure 31 show observations values for a single pixel in the Amazon. Pixels close to the equator are characterized by a smaller number of observations because orbits do not overlap at the equator. In addition over the Amazon clouds are present more than 75% of the time, making it difficult to get cloud free pixels and forcing the algorithm to use poor quality data sometimes.

Table 9. Raw observations for a pixel in Brazil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOY</th>
<th>NDVI</th>
<th>EVI</th>
<th>EVI2</th>
<th>VZ</th>
<th>SZ</th>
<th>RED</th>
<th>NIR</th>
<th>BLUE</th>
<th>MIR</th>
<th>ORBIT</th>
<th>NU</th>
<th>OBS</th>
<th>COV</th>
<th>GX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>8779</td>
<td>5010</td>
<td>3493</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>3231</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>19725</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>G3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231</td>
<td>8657</td>
<td>4768</td>
<td>4658</td>
<td>4458</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>2626</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>19739</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>G2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232</td>
<td>2944</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>6262</td>
<td>2122</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>2987</td>
<td>1938</td>
<td>1163</td>
<td>19753</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>G9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233</td>
<td>2955</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>6280</td>
<td>2122</td>
<td>1614</td>
<td>2968</td>
<td>1874</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>19753</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>G9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>1534</td>
<td>1534</td>
<td>6998</td>
<td>4030</td>
<td>3055</td>
<td>4388</td>
<td>2871</td>
<td>2376</td>
<td>19754</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>G9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>5720</td>
<td>3608</td>
<td>10050</td>
<td>16627</td>
<td>10143</td>
<td>5630</td>
<td>19768</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236</td>
<td>3580</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>3552</td>
<td>3197</td>
<td>1528</td>
<td>3296</td>
<td>1791</td>
<td>1614</td>
<td>19782</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>G9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>8433</td>
<td>1707</td>
<td>1699</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2801</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>19796</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>G9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>238</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>1794</td>
<td>1794</td>
<td>3635</td>
<td>2428</td>
<td>3693</td>
<td>3537</td>
<td>4436</td>
<td>2757</td>
<td>19810</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>G9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>239</td>
<td>1584</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>5794</td>
<td>2091</td>
<td>3746</td>
<td>5157</td>
<td>3309</td>
<td>2098</td>
<td>19824</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>G9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>6214</td>
<td>3699</td>
<td>8060</td>
<td>8742</td>
<td>7324</td>
<td>4077</td>
<td>19839</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>G9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>241</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>1195</td>
<td>4397</td>
<td>3274</td>
<td>5280</td>
<td>6684</td>
<td>5276</td>
<td>3686</td>
<td>19853</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>G9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 31 Raw observations time series

Step 1.

Reconstruct observations per orbit: All the raw observations are reduced to only 10 after orbit reconstruction (Table 10 and Figure 32).
Step 3.

Remove low quality observations and run compositing algorithm

Table 11. Only one observation was retained

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOY</th>
<th>NDVI</th>
<th>EVI2</th>
<th>VZ</th>
<th>SZ</th>
<th>RED</th>
<th>NIR</th>
<th>BLUE</th>
<th>MIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>231</td>
<td>8657</td>
<td>4658</td>
<td>4498</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>2626</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After removing all low quality observations, only 1 observation was retained. And while this was not a high quality pixel, it was nonetheless the best possible observation with no clouds (Group 2). The pixel output rank will capture and relay the quality of this selected observation.

8.2. Output Scientific Data Sets

8.2.1. SDS Structure

The 500m and 1km 16-day VI product contain the following scientific data (output layers)

Table 12. VNP13A1/VNP13A2 SDS structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science Data set</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>Valid Range</th>
<th>Fill</th>
<th>Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500m 16 days NDVI</td>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>-10,000 - 10,000</td>
<td>-15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500m 16 days EVI</td>
<td>EVI</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>-10,000 - 10,000</td>
<td>-15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500m 16 days EVI</td>
<td>EVI2</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>-10,000 - 10,000</td>
<td>-15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Quality of Each VI Product**

The quality of each VI product is stored in Quality Assessment (QA) metadata objects and QA science data sets (SDS). The QA metadata objects summarize global level file or product quality with single words and numeric values, and thus are useful for data ordering and screening. The QA SDS (layer), on the other hand, documents the product quality on a pixel-by-pixel basis and thus is useful for data analyses and application.

**Table 13. VIIRS VI quality assurance description**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bit #</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>MODLAND_QA</td>
<td>00: VI produced, good quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>01: VI produced, but check other QA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10: Pixel produced, but most probably cloudy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11: Pixel not produced due to other reasons than clouds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>VI usefulness</td>
<td>0000: Highest quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0001: Lower quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0010...1010: Decreasing quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1100: Lowest quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1101: Quality so low that it is not useful</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**500m (VNP13A1) is replaced by 1km for VNP13A2.**

*: For the VIs there are more than one unique Fill value to capture the different LW statuses. For the tiled products, the VI Fill is -15,000 over ocean/water, -13,000 over land, and in addition for CMG products it is -12,000 over high latitude during the dark winter, and -14,000 over Antarctica (which is never produced). For the Rank the Fill is -4 over ocean (all products), -3 for Antarctica (CMG products), -2 over high latitude during the dark winter (CMG only), -1 over land (all products).**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bits</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>Aerosol quantity: Climatology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>Adjacent cloud detected: Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>Atmosphere BRDF correction: Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mixed clouds: Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>000</td>
<td>Land/Water Flag: land &amp; desert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>land no desert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>010</td>
<td>inland water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>011</td>
<td>sea water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>coastal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>Possible snow/ice: Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00</td>
<td>Possible shadow: Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bits are listed from MSB (bit 15) to the LSB (bit 0)

### 8.3. QA Metadata

The metadata fields used in the S-NPP VIIRS VI product suite are listed below. They provide a summary of the file overall quality based on a frequency analysis of the reliability rank.

**Table 14. Metadata fields for QA evaluation of VNP13A1/VNP13A2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inventory Metadata fields for all VI products (searchable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QAPERCENTINTERPOLATEDDATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAPERCENTMISSINGDATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAPERCENTOUTOFTHEOURLIMSDATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAPERCENTCLOUDCOVER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAPERCENTGOODQUALITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAPERCENTOTHERQUALITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAPERCENTNOTPRODUCEDCLOUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QAPERCENTNOTPRODUCEDOTHER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product specific metadata (searchable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Archived Metadata (not searchable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.4. Global and Local Metadata Attributes

All S-NPP VIIRS VI products contain local and global metadata that is written during the product generation. The local metadata is written as attributes of the SDS within the HDF file. This global metadata is useful for archiving, searching, and ordering the product and describe other key attributes about the file.

8.4.1. Global Metadata Attributes

Each HDF file contains a series of attribute objects structure (slightly abridged for space). The list of the actual metadata structure is in Appendix-I

8.4.2. Global File Attributes

Each HDF file contains a minimum set of attributes to identify the product, written as global file attributes and listed in a key - value format. The following list apply to 500m and 1km datasets:

- AlgorithmType
- AlgorithmVersion
- DataResolution
- DayNightFlag
- DayNumbers
- EastBoundingCoord
- EndTime
- GRingLatitude
- GRingLongitude
- HorizontalTileNumber
- InputPointer
- LocalGranuleID
- LongName
- NorthBoundingCoord
- NumberofInputGranules
- PGENumber
- PGEVersion
- PGE_EndTime
- PGE_Name
- PGE_StartTime
- PlatformShortName
- ProcessVersion
- ProcessingCenter
- ProcessingEnvironment
- ProductionTime
- RangeBeginningDate
- RangeBeginningTime
- RangeEndingDate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VNP13A1</th>
<th>QAPERCENTPOOR500M16DAYEVI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A1</td>
<td>QAPERCENTPOOR500M16DAYEVI2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A2</td>
<td>QAPERCENTPOOR1KM16DAYNDVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A2</td>
<td>QAPERCENTPOOR1KM16DAYEVI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A2</td>
<td>QAPERCENTPOOR1KM16DAYEVI2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• RangeEndingTime
• SensorShortName
• ShortName
• SouthBoundingCoord
• StartTime
• TileID
• VersionID
• VerticalTileNumber
• WestBoundingCoord
• identifier_product_doi
• identifier_product_doi_authority.

9. VNP13A3 (monthly 1km) Vegetation Index Product

This product is generated using the 16-day 1km VIIRS VI tiled products using a temporal compositing algorithm based on a weighted average scheme to create a true calendar-month composite. The output file contains 15 SDS's (Table 19)

9.1. Monthly Compositing Algorithm Description

The algorithm for S-NPP VIIRS monthly VI differs from the one used in the MODIS VI suite (Huete et al. 2002). The VIIRS algorithm was designed to generate a true monthly composite that only retains and average observations within the considered month and not an average of what the compositing period represents. It also uses the two 16-day VIIRS streams that are produced 8-days apart. This algorithm operates on a per-pixel basis and ingest “Normal” and “Phased” production data from the two 16-day 1km VI products that overlap the calendar month (Fig 33). The use of both data streams allows for a quasi-8-day compositing periodicity thus increasing the number of observations per month. This gain in observations allows for a better representation of the month and helps generate a true average monthly value. Since both streams are from the same S-NPP VIIRS sensor, observations may at times repeat (especially for areas with limited good quality pixels) for a particular month, however the algorithm was designed to identify and to avoid using repeated values to minimize bias.

Because the 16-day composite periods extend beyond the limits of the calendar month, only observations within the month, based on the composite day, will be used to compute the monthly pixel value; this is achieved by looking at each pixel Composite Day of Year SDS layer from the 1km input product.

![Normal Production Stream](image1)

![Phased Production Stream](image2)
Once all observations from the 16-day composites are collected, a quality analysis is performed to decide the method to calculate the monthly VI value and which 16-day observations to use. Clouds, cloud shadow and snow quality flags are extracted for each pixel, then observations are grouped in quality categories from best to lowest quality using a scheme that follows the pixel rank approach. The subset of observations to be used for the monthly pixel is selected following Fig 34. The algorithm starts by identifying if there are pixels that are cloud free, cloud shadow free and snow free. If there is a single observation that meets that criteria, that pixel will be used to represent the whole month. If there are more than one, their surface reflectance values are averaged (red, NIR, blue, green, SWIR1, SWIR2, SWIR3) and the VIs are recalculated from these average reflectance. If no pixels meet these conditions, the next QA subset will be created by limiting QA flags to cloud free and cloud shadow free. If one or more observations are found, the same averaging procedure is used to generate the monthly value. If no observations meet this criteria, the algorithm looks into Cloud free and snow free observations and the process continues as before. If only cloudy pixels are found, then the Maximum Composited Value (MCV) method will be used and only the observation with the highest NDVI is selected assuming that maximizing the NDVI will minimize the cloud impact.

In assigning the output pixel QA, the worst case scenario approach is used, and the pixel with the lowest quality dictates the final output QA when the averaging method for VI was used. If a single observation, the QA is passed directly from the input observation. We employ the worst case scenario to mitigate omission issues in assigning quality flag and favoring a conservative approach.

**Figure 34. Monthly VIIRS VI pixel value estimation flow chart**

### 9.1.1. Monthly Algorithm Operation Example

To illustrate the operation of the algorithm, we present here examples that show how to estimate a monthly pixel value from the 16-day data streams. The results are then compared to a
hypothesized old MODIS algorithm based value. 16-day 1km composites from VIIRS product VNP13A2 for the periods 017, 033, 049 (Normal Production) and 025, 041,057 (Phased Production) for the year 2017 were used. The monthly NDVI pixel value will be estimated for the calendar Month of February (DOY 32 to 59).

The first example is from a pixel (Row=1113 and Column=1085) in tile h08v05 (an area not prone to clouds or atmosphere issues and where usually high quality observations exist). Input from each 16day period and QA flags are shown in Table 15.

Table 15. 16-day 1km composite pixel observations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VNP13A2</th>
<th>017</th>
<th>025</th>
<th>033</th>
<th>041</th>
<th>049</th>
<th>057</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td>1452</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>1386</td>
<td>1540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVI</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>989</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>1026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVI2</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>1018</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>987</td>
<td>982</td>
<td>1010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA</td>
<td>2116</td>
<td>2116</td>
<td>2116</td>
<td>2116</td>
<td>2116</td>
<td>2120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED</td>
<td>1888</td>
<td>1888</td>
<td>2095</td>
<td>2175</td>
<td>2236</td>
<td>1905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>2585</td>
<td>2585</td>
<td>2807</td>
<td>2890</td>
<td>2956</td>
<td>2599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLUE</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>1027</td>
<td>1051</td>
<td>1077</td>
<td>951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GREEN</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>1393</td>
<td>1445</td>
<td>1474</td>
<td>1285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIR1</td>
<td>3307</td>
<td>3307</td>
<td>3515</td>
<td>3623</td>
<td>3650</td>
<td>3211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIR2</td>
<td>3526</td>
<td>3526</td>
<td>3731</td>
<td>3846</td>
<td>3862</td>
<td>3392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIR3</td>
<td>2986</td>
<td>2986</td>
<td>3197</td>
<td>3258</td>
<td>3298</td>
<td>2828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VZA</td>
<td>1414</td>
<td>1414</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>1944</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>6263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZA</td>
<td>4897</td>
<td>4897</td>
<td>4784</td>
<td>4554</td>
<td>4263</td>
<td>3801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAA</td>
<td>-2450</td>
<td>-2450</td>
<td>-2481</td>
<td>-596</td>
<td>-2420</td>
<td>-2528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDOY</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality flags</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clouds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shadow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow/ice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Processing decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use Pixel?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pweight</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Several good quality observations are available to work with. They are cloud free, cloud shadow free and snow/ice free. For the month of February 2017 (Day of the year 32 to 59) the 16day composited periods partially overlapping the month are 17-32,49-65 (Normal Production) and 25-41, 57-73 (Phased Production). From these periods only pixels with composite day of the year (CDOY) overlapping the month are used. Following tables 16, observations from the periods 17, 25 and 57 were eliminated because they correspond to a composite day of the year outside February month (CDOY were 31, 31 and 60 respectively). This leaves only 3 observations, and each contributes a third to the final monthly value.

Table 16. Comparisons of the new (VIIRS combined) and separate methods (old MODIS method) for generating monthly values.
Normal Production and Phased Production monthly values were calculated using the old MODIS logic algorithm. They produced a higher NDVI value (1426 and 1468) compared to the 1415 obtained with the combined method. Even though all observations passed the quality test, three observations were avoided by the VIIRS algorithm because the pixel value came from outside the Month of February. These discarded observations had higher NDVI values, and were used by the older method, impacting the final computed value and giving a higher final VI value.

A second example is a pixel (row=403 and column=258) in the tile h12v09 (Tropics region, where good quality data is rare due to clouds). Input data values for each 16day period and QA flags are shown in Table 17, then Monthly output values are shown based on the new and old methodologies (Table 18).

Table 17. 16-day 1km composited pixel observations and processing decision Example 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VNP13A2</th>
<th>017</th>
<th>025</th>
<th>033</th>
<th>041</th>
<th>049</th>
<th>057</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>8553</td>
<td>7441</td>
<td>3141</td>
<td>6301</td>
<td>8392</td>
<td>8392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVI</td>
<td>5454</td>
<td>4521</td>
<td>2350</td>
<td>4962</td>
<td>6303</td>
<td>6303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVI2</td>
<td>5291</td>
<td>4311</td>
<td>2350</td>
<td>4962</td>
<td>6131</td>
<td>6131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA</td>
<td>35037</td>
<td>3298</td>
<td>3102</td>
<td>3098</td>
<td>2116</td>
<td>2116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>1843</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>3155</td>
<td>2781</td>
<td>3531</td>
<td>4254</td>
<td>3982</td>
<td>3982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLUE</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GREEN</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>2046</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIR1</td>
<td>2873</td>
<td>2591</td>
<td>3276</td>
<td>4009</td>
<td>3751</td>
<td>3751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIR2</td>
<td>1418</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>2059</td>
<td>2231</td>
<td>2207</td>
<td>2207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIR3</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>1598</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VZA</td>
<td>6351</td>
<td>5873</td>
<td>4618</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>1012</td>
<td>1012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZA</td>
<td>1823</td>
<td>1774</td>
<td>1709</td>
<td>1891</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAA</td>
<td>-2381</td>
<td>-2326</td>
<td>-2200</td>
<td>-1957</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDOY</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Four observations have cloud issues and 2 observations are cloud free. For the Month of February 2017 (DOY 32 to 59) the 16day composited periods partially overlapping the month are 17-32, 49-65 (Normal Production) and 25-41, 57-73 (Phased Production). From these periods only pixels where the composited day of the year fall within the month will be used. Therefore, observations from period 17, 25, 49 and 57 were eliminated because their CDOY were 20, 25, 60 and 60 respectively (outside the calendar month). Leaving only 2 observations to compute the month from. Because both observations were cloudy, the value representing the month will be selected using the MCV method, and in this case the observation selected was NDVI=6301 corresponding to DOY 55. Observation from DOY 60 was cloud free, but it was outside the month.

### Table 18. Monthly values comparisons from old and new methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monthly values</th>
<th>Normal Production</th>
<th>Phased Production</th>
<th>Combined Streams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>8553</td>
<td>8392</td>
<td>6301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVI</td>
<td>5454</td>
<td>6303</td>
<td>4962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVI2</td>
<td>5291</td>
<td>6131</td>
<td>4962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA</td>
<td>35037</td>
<td>2116</td>
<td>3098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RED</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>3155</td>
<td>3982</td>
<td>4254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLUE</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GREEN</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>1264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIR1</td>
<td>2873</td>
<td>3751</td>
<td>4009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIR2</td>
<td>1418</td>
<td>2207</td>
<td>2231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWIR3</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>1170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VZA</td>
<td>6351</td>
<td>1012</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SZA</td>
<td>1823</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAA</td>
<td>-2381</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-1957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANK</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normal Production and Phased Production have very high values (8553 and 8392) and the new algorithm resulted in a value of 6301.

While very limited in space and time these two examples illustrate the performance of the algorithm, and in both cases the resulting NDVI values based on the new approach were lower than the ones obtained by the old algorithm. These results actually indicate that the old algorithm was overestimating the monthly values by overusing data from adjacent months (Extending as much as 15 days in the previous or next month sometimes) leading to this bias. VIIRS on the other hand minimizes this bias by only working with observations from the actual month.
When we look at the spatial distribution (tile h08v05) of the NDVI and at the NDVI histogram distribution of both algorithms (Figure 35) they show minor differences <0.3% (Fig 35d) and the NDVI difference histogram (Fig 35 e) shows that the majority of differences are within 1% VI unit with a slightly gain in higher values.

Figure 35. Tile h08v05 Monthly NDVI results comparison
d) NDVI Histogram for Normal, Phased and Combined streams, e) NDVI difference between streams

Figure 36. Tile h12v09 Monthly NDVI results comparison

The results for tile h12v09, show similar trends to tile h08v05. The majority of NDVI Differences between old method and new method are below 1% with slightly gain in high values over the whole range. However, when looking just at the >=8000 VI range, the NDVI values tend to be slightly lower from the new method. And while these difference are very small they are a direct result of the bias resulting from the old method overuse of data outside the month.

9.2. Scientific Datasets

9.2.1. SDS Structure

The monthly 1km VNP13A3 VI product has 15 SDSs (Table 19) that are identical to the 16-day VPN13A2 SDS list and at different temporal interval, and we note the absence of the composite day of the year.

Table 19. VNP13A3 File SDS structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science Data set</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>Valid Range</th>
<th>Fill*</th>
<th>Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly NDVI</td>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>-10,000 - 10,000</td>
<td>-15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly EVI</td>
<td>EVI</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>-10,000 - 10,000</td>
<td>-15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly EVI2</td>
<td>EVI2</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>-10,000 - 10,000</td>
<td>-15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly VI Quality</td>
<td>bits</td>
<td>UINT16</td>
<td>0 - 65534</td>
<td>65535</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly red reflectance</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 – 10,000</td>
<td>-1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly NIR reflectance</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 – 10,000</td>
<td>-1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly blue reflectance</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 – 10,000</td>
<td>-1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly green reflectance</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 – 10,000</td>
<td>-1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly SWIR1 reflectance</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 – 10,000</td>
<td>-1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly SWIR2 reflectance</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 – 10,000</td>
<td>-1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly SWIR3 reflectance</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 – 10,000</td>
<td>-1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly view zenith angle</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 – 18,000</td>
<td>-20,000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly sun zenith angle</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 – 18,000</td>
<td>-20,000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly relative azimuth angle</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>-18,000 - 18,000</td>
<td>-20,000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1km monthly pixel reliability</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>INT8</td>
<td>0 - 11</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: See Fill additional notes in Table 12 page 43
9.2.2. Pixel reliability & Quality Assurance

Each VNP13A3 output pixel has a pixel reliability index (rank) that summarizes the data quality (Table 5), and a single QA SDS for all VIs (NDVI, EVI, EVI2) quality assurance (Table 13).

9.3. Product Specific Metadata

A listing of the metadata fields used for QA evaluation of the VNP13A3 VI product is below (Table 20).

Table 20. Metadata fields for QA evaluation of VNP13A3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inventory Metadata fields for all VI products (searchable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QA_PERCENTINTERPOLATEDDATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA_PERCENTMISSINGDATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA_PERCENTOUTOFOUNDSDATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA_PERCENTCLOUDCOVER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA_PERCENTGOODQUALITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA_PERCENTOTHERQUALITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA_PERCENTNOTPRODUCEDCLOUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA_PERCENTNOTPRODUCEDOTHER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product specific metadata (searchable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Archived Metadata (not searchable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13A3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.4. Global and Local Metadata Attributes

VNP13A3 Metadata attributes are identical to the VNP13A2 (16-day 1km VI), see Appendix I.

10. VNP13C1 (16days 0.05deg) Vegetation Index product

The S-NPP VIIRS VI CMG series is a seamless global 3600x7200 pixel data product with 18 data layers, SDSs. Each file is approximately 120 MB per composite period (using internal compression). This is a higher quality climate product useful for modeling and long term global spatial analysis of Earth surface processes (Justice et al 2003). The algorithm employs a QA filter scheme that removes lower quality and cloud-contaminated pixels during aggregation and reprojcetion of the input 1-km data into the 0.05° geographic (lat/lon) grid. It uses a spatial gap filling scheme based on historic long term average data records, to produce a continuous, gap free and high quality product for ready ingestion by biogeochemical, carbon, and climate models.

In addition, this product uses a true static Land/Water mask that is not based on input data LW mask status, rather it uses an external fixed ancillary LW mask. Due to the complex process of orbital data gridding (L2 to L2 Grid) that bins sensor raw data into fixed Earth grid locations (bins)
and due to the size of the sensor footprint at the edge of each scan (observations that tend to grow with the view angle), the process in addition of the slight Geolocation errors (Wolfe et al. 2002) result in slight spatial inconsistencies especially at the edge of water bodies, coastlines, and areas where the land cover changes. To minimize the impact we use a static external LW mask and bypass the internal per pixel LW mask status.

10.1. Algorithm Description

Global VNP13C1 data are cloud free spatial composites of the gridded 16-day 1-km VNP13A2, and are provided as a level-3 product projected on a 0.05 degree (5600-meter) Geographic Climatic Modeling Grid (CMG) map. Figure 37 shows the processing steps of the CMG algorithm.

![Diagram](image)

*Figure 37. VNP13C1 Algorithm and data processing flow*

The algorithm eliminates all cloudy observations from the input and the remaining pixels are averaged using three different schemes. All input 1-km pixels (nominal 6x6) will either be all clear, all cloudy, or mixed. These averaging schemes work as shown in Fig. 38: If all input pixels are clear,
they will be all averaged to produce one output value. If all input pixels are cloudy, the pixel will be estimated from the gap filling historical long term average; and if the input pixels are mixed, only the clear pixels are averaged to produce one output value.

Figure 38. VI Spatial averaging

An essential part of CMG processing is the presence of a global long term average dataset. This dataset will not only be used to fill pixels where the input cannot be used but also used to replace gaps in case of no data due to instrument error, orbit gaps, etc. In few instances even full tiles are replaced from this long term average database. The database consists of NDVI, EVI and EVI2 data layers and the static land water mask. The resulting global products will always be gap free for VIs, but not the surface reflectance layers and other SDSs (Figure 37). The Pixel reliability layer (Rank) has a specific value (11=LTAVG) to indicate the pixel value was taken from the database.

This database of fill values is calculated from the average of the good data from all previous years CMGs for that composite period. As of V1, VIIRS VI values from 2012 to 2015 were used to generate this long term average databases. The input values from 1KM 16 days VI datasets were resampled to CMG and reprojected to lat/lon coordinates. This database will be regularly updated with new data. And while this works fine for most pixels, it does have serious disadvantages in case of disturbances as the pixel will be replaced with data from a long term average prior to the disturbance.

Certain highly dynamic Land covers may show sudden change when filled from the long term database. However, for pixels missing due to cloud contamination, the fill strategy performs well on average. The algorithm only gap fills the three VI layers, all other layers remain empty with fill values, except for the data layer '#1 km pix used', which is set to 0, i.e. no good input data.
10.2. Scientific Datasets

10.2.1. SDS Structure

The 16-day 0.05 deg VNP13C1 VI product has 18 SDS's, as listed in Table 21.

Table 21. List of SDS's from 16-day 0.05 deg VNP13C1 VI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science Data set</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>Valid Range</th>
<th>Fill*</th>
<th>Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days NDVI</td>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>-10,000 - 10,000</td>
<td>-15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days EVI</td>
<td>EVI</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>-10,000 - 10,000</td>
<td>-15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days EVI2</td>
<td>EVI2</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>-10,000 - 10,000</td>
<td>-15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days VI Quality</td>
<td>bits</td>
<td>UINT16</td>
<td>0 - 65534</td>
<td>65535</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days red reflectance</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 - 10,000</td>
<td>-1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days NIR reflectance</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 - 10,000</td>
<td>-1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days blue reflectance</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 - 10,000</td>
<td>-1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days green reflectance</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 - 10,000</td>
<td>-1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days SWIR1 reflectance</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 - 10,000</td>
<td>-1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days SWIR2 reflectance</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 - 10,000</td>
<td>-1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days SWIR3 reflectance</td>
<td>Reflectance</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 - 10,000</td>
<td>-1000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days Avg sun zen angle</td>
<td>Degree</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 - 18,000</td>
<td>-20,000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days NDVI std dev</td>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 - 10,000</td>
<td>-15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days EVI std dev</td>
<td>EVI</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 - 10,000</td>
<td>-15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days EVI2 std dev</td>
<td>EVI2</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>0 - 10,000</td>
<td>-15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days #1km pix used</td>
<td>Pixels</td>
<td>UINT8</td>
<td>0 - 36</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days #1km pix +30deg VZ</td>
<td>Pixels</td>
<td>UINT8</td>
<td>0 - 36</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05deg 16 days pixel reliability</td>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>INT8</td>
<td>0 - 1</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: See Fill additional notes in Table 12 page 43

10.2.2. Pixel Reliability & Quality Assurance

Each VNP13C1 output pixel has a Rank summary SDS (Table 5), and a single QA SDS for all VIs (NDVI, EVI, EVI2) quality assurance (Table 13). Pixel reliability SDS will show value of 11 (LTAVG) if a
pixel was replaced from the long term average database. Users can use this Rank value to mask and remove the long term average values from this product if not desired.

The VI Usefulness rank (bits 2-5 in the QA SDS) computation is performed for VNP13C1 according to the criteria showed in Table 13. Detailed QA bit 0-13 are kept the same as for VNP13A2; bits 14-15 are replaced as shown in Table 22.

**Table 22.** Bits 14-15 of the VNP13C1 VI Quality Assessment SDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bits Parameter Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14-15 Geospatial quality</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>≤ 25% of the finer 1km resolution contributed to this</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>01</td>
<td>&gt; 25% and ≤ 50% of the finer 1km resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&gt;50% and ≤ 75% of the finer 1km resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&gt; 75% of the finer 1km resolution contributed to this</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.2.3. QA Metadata

A listing of the QA metadata fields used in the VNP13C1 VI product is shown in Table 23.

**Table 23.** Metadata fields for QA evaluation of VNP13C1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inventory Metadata fields for all VI products (searchable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QAPERCENTINTERPOLATEDDATA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product specific metadata (searchable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product Specific metadata variable name (best quality)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13C1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Archived Metadata (not searchable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product Metadata variable name (Array of QA usefulness histogram)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNP13C1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.3. Global and Local Metadata Attributes

All VIIRS VI products contain local and global metadata that is written during the product generation. The local metadata is written as attributes of the SDS within the HDF file. This global metadata is useful for archiving, searching, and ordering the product and provides other key attributes about the file.
10.3.1. Global Metadata Attributes

Each HDF file contains a long list of attribute object structure (slightly abridged for this documentation). The list of this structure is in Appendix - II

10.3.2. Global File Attributes

The Global file attributes of VNP13C1 provide useful information about the Algorithm, processing center, and other aspects of the product, and are:

- AlgorithmType
- AlgorithmVersion
- DataResolution
- DayNightFlag
- DayNumbers
- EastBoundingCoord
- EndTime
- InputPointer
- LocalGranuleID
- LongName
- NorthBoundingCoord
- NumberOfInputGranules
- PGENumber
- PGEVersion
- PGE_EndTime
- PGE_Name
- PGE_StartTime
- PlatformShortName
- ProcessVersion
- ProcessingCenter
- ProcessingEnvironment
- ProductionTime
- RangeBeginningDate
- RangeBeginningTime
- RangeEndingDate
- RangeEndingTime
- SensorShortName
- ShortName
- SouthBoundingCoord
- StartTime
- VersionID
- WestBoundingCoord
- identifier_product_doi
11. **VNP13C2 (monthly 0.05deg) Vegetation Index ESDR**

Global VNP13C2 data are cloud-free temporal composites of the 16-day VNP13C1 products. VNP13C2 is a level-3 product projected on a 0.05 degree (5600-meter) Geographic (lat/lon) Climate Modeling Grid (CMG). Cloud-free coverage is achieved by replacing clouds with historical VIIRS VI time series climatology record during the generation of the input 16-day VNP13C1 CMG product.

11.1. **Algorithm description**

This algorithm operates (Figure 40) on a per-pixel basis and ingest all 16-day VI products that overlap with the calendar month. Once all 16-day composites are present, a weight factor based on the degree of temporal overlap is applied to each input. In assigning the pixel QA, a worst case scenario is used, whereby the pixel with the lowest quality determines the final pixel QA. Once again, Normal Production and Phased Production streams are used together to increase the potential number of observations. However, this is different from the 1KM monthly VI product (VNP13A3) as the data does not permit the filtering based on the exact DOY of the observation, since the input data (VNP13C1) does not include a SDS layer indicating the composite day of the pixel. However, given that the CMG pixel was generated from a pool of 36 1km observations, the probability of observations from a day that intersects the month increases. And because the weights are directly proportional to the overlapping period, the second period (that falls the most in the month) contributes the highest to the final monthly pixel value. This minimize any biases associated with using data from outside the month.

![Figure 40. Monthly CMG VIIRS VI flow diagram](image)

11.2. **Scientific Datasets**

11.2.1. **SDS Structure**

VNP13C2 VI product has 18 SDSs, listed on 24

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science Data set</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Data Type</th>
<th>Valid Range</th>
<th>Fill</th>
<th>Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05 Deg monthly NDVI</td>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>-10,000 - 10,000</td>
<td>-15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMG 0.05 Deg monthly EVI</td>
<td>EVI</td>
<td>INT16</td>
<td>-10,000 – 10,000</td>
<td>-15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Like with all VIIRS VI products, the VNP13C1 also generates a pixel reliability and QA SDSs documenting the quality of each pixel and thus useful for data post analysis and applications. Each VNP13C2 output pixel has a rank summary (Table 5) and a single QA SDS for NDVI, EVI and EVI2 quality assurance.

### 11.3. QA Metadata

A listing of the QA metadata fields used in the VNP13C2 VI product is shown in Table 25.

#### Table 25. Metadata fields for QA evaluation of VNP13C2 products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inventory Metadata fields for all VI products (searchable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QAPERCENTINTERPOLATEDDATA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product specific metadata (searchable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product Specific metadata variable name (best quality)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Archived Metadata (not searchable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product Metadata variable name (Array of QA usefulness histogram)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 11.4. Global and Local Metadata Attributes

VNP13C2 and VNP13C1 share the same structural metadata, with slight differences that capture the temporal resolution.
12. S-NPP VIIRS VI Record Error and Characterization

All S-NPP VI products, as was the case with EOS-MODIS and AVHRR (Nemani et al. 2003, Myneni et al. 1997, Huete et al. 2006 & 2008) are suitable for the study of land surface vegetation short, medium, and long term patterns, trends and anomalies and are capable of supporting various ecosystem, biogeochemical, and climate models by providing key information about land the surface and vegetation cover. However, the error and uncertainty associated with these Vegetation Index products are sometimes quite large, complex, and time and space dependent. VI products need to be well characterized in order to promote accurate and proper use of the time series. It is however practically impossible to directly quantify the error in a vegetation index product due to the nature of the index itself, the underlying remote sensing data, and the spatial and temporal context.

One can still explore other quasi-quantitative methods that aim to simply characterizing these records and elicit their general error and uncertainty. Here we are proposing a simple framework (Fig. 41) and set of metrics to help characterize and capture the error and uncertainty in these products. In addition, a global spatially explicit statistical analysis is also proposed to help establish the error and characterize the data record. The methods proposed and discussed here are quasi-quantitative and meant to help characterize the product suite error and not validate the product.

Figure 41. Error and Uncertainty model framework

12.1. Global VI data record Error Framework

The proposed framework should be spatially and temporally explicit, and is designed to capture key features of these data records:

- **Impact and quality of the atmosphere correction**: This is a key characteristic, and while current atmosphere correction algorithms are capable of addressing and correcting a host of atmosphere issues (water vapor, ozone, Rayleigh scattering, and light to medium aerosols, viewing geometry) their performance is always an issue. In many situations the correction actually exacerbates the problems due to ingesting poor quality ancillary data needed to drive the atmosphere correction algorithm.

- **Departure from the long term average**: The departure although can result from natural factors (growing season or response to drivers), is in many cases the result of noise and error in the data. The departure will be measured by the absolute distance from the long term average. To separate the noise from natural change, the error related departures are identified by examining their persistence. A sustained departure is most likely the result of a natural change/disturbance and not an error in the data.

- **Temporal profile noise**: Although, the VI temporal profiles capture and reflect the natural cycle of vegetation dynamic, they can also result from noise and error in the underlying input data.
Random and large oscillation about the long term average are most likely the result of error in the data and cannot be attributed to natural and gradual vegetation dynamic.

Using this framework, the error is portioned into three categories (Fig. 42):

1. Error related to input (1): Which could be estimated from accurate atmospherically corrected data over validation sites (ex: Aeronet/Sunphotometer sites, Holben et al. 2006).

2. Departure from normal/stable profile (2): Based on long term standard deviations and statistical analyses of the records.

3. Temporal profile noise/stability (3): Will be based on change about normal/mean. This indicates noise in data records and inhibits the profile characterization especially in the context of phenology metrics extraction.

Figure 42. Error model

12.1.1. LSR Input Related Error

Herein, we’re not concerned with the VI formulation, but the error in the input to the VI equation and how it translates into a VI error (envelope). This measures how close to the actual top of canopy the reflectance values are (TOC), which is an indication of the ability to remove all atmosphere contamination by the atmosphere correction algorithm.

To estimate this error, we use Surface Reflectance data from validation sites (ex: sunphotometers measurements over EOS Core validation sites, work maintained by the Land Product Validation group, LPV). The LSR error was estimated (EOS MODIS LPV website, http://landval.gsfc.nasa.gov/ and https://lpvs.gsfc.nasa.gov/) to average about 2-5% in the Red & NIR for high quality data (Vermote et al, https://landval.gsfc.nasa.gov/ProductStatus.php?ProductID=MOD09). This corresponds to the general error in estimating the surface reflectance under no clouds, no residual clouds, no to minimum aerosol, and ideal atmosphere conditions. Using an ad-hoc approach we can then model a maximum error, assuming the presence of residual to medium aerosols loads, of about ±10% in the Red/NIR reflectance. This means an assumption of double the error reported over sunphotometer sites in estimating the land surface reflectance under less than ideal conditions, or 10% error. Using a simple and direct transfer function we can estimate the impact of this surface reflectance error on the VI using the equation (Fig. 43).
Figure 43. Input surface reflectance error impact on estimating NDVI. The NDVI error envelop range between -0.1 to 0.1 VI units.

Using this simple transfer model, the resulting maximum VI error was estimated to:

- For vegetated areas (High NDVI values) \( \sim 0.04-0.05 \) VI Units (~1-5% relative)
- For sparsely and non-vegetated areas (Low NDVI values) \( \sim 0.11 \) VI Units (~100% relative)

### 12.1.2. Spatial Error Modeling

To model the input error spatially and estimate its impact on the resulting VI data we can further translate the VI error values from the model in Fig. 43 to a long term annual average map of red and NIR. Each pair of red and NIR corresponds to a unique VI value and error envelope and a spatial error distribution map can easily be constructed (Figs. 44 &45).

Figure 44. Spatial map of the absolute and Relative NDVI Error using the model from Fig. 43.
Similar to NDVI an EVI (EVI2) seasonal error spatial distribution can be estimated following the same model.

### 12.1.3. Standard Deviation of the VI ESDRs

In statistics the standard deviation depicts the departure from normal/average, or how spread the data about its average. In the context of the VI data record the standard deviation captures the impact of both natural processes and noise related error on the time series. This error is estimated by statistical analysis of the long term standard deviation of the VI profiles and is readily and explicitly estimated in space (Fig. 46).

![Figure 46. VI Standard deviation (error) or departure from long term average. (a) The seasonal NDVI error, and (b) the EVI standard deviation error shows a smaller range.](image)

Using this statistical approach, the overall global average error is:

- 0.05 (VI Units) for vegetated and 0.005 (VI Units) for sparse or non-vegetated areas
- With largest error observed over vegetated areas and during spring/summer (peak growing season)

With this framework we computed spatially explicit annual error metrics for each pixel. The resulting global maps elucidate the spatial coherency and error in these ESDRs. The results can aid end users assess these records and associate a spatial and seasonal per-pixel estimate of error and uncertainty. Post analysis results using these records can be constrained and their significance established. Overall the error due to the surface reflectance input uncertainty, under ideal observing conditions, was rather small with an absolute max value of ~0.05, except for sparsely vegetated areas where it becomes relatively high up to 100% due to the signal (VI<=0.1) being small and overwhelmed by noise. The impact on EVI and EVI2 was larger than that of NDVI. The error...
was also largest during the winter due to the presence of snow/ice which introduces more noise in the input data and inhibits atmosphere correction.

12.1.4. Accuracy Precision and Uncertainty (APU) of the VI record

The nature of the VI data makes assessing error, uncertainty, precision very challenging and not readily possible. Traditionally remote sensing measurements were characterized and validated using:

1. A variety of ground truth and field data
   - To establish the ground truth by perfectly correcting the data over the field site
   - Compare against the field data using QA filtered highest possible observations

But this usually leads to limited representation and scaling issues and challenges. Moreover, this is a validation of the actual algorithm under ideal conditions rather than real validation of actual data under normal observation conditions. These limitations suggest assessing the products using:

2. Statistical approaches

Using a variety of metrics to establish the product’s APU characteristics, including:

- Calculate AVG and STDEV, then estimate the **Uncertainty** as the range between AVG ± STDEV
- Compute the **Precision** as STDEV / AVG
- And compute the **Accuracy** as the difference between the AVG and a “true measurement”. But since there is not spatially explicit ground truth data record to be used to derive the precision, one can use approximation by TM (Gao et al. 2003) or use a longer more established data record like Aqua or Terra MODIS VI record.

To compute the global NDVI, EVI, and EVI2 APU metrics we used long term data clustered by Land Cover to estimate average and standard deviation (Table 26).

Table 26: Global land cover dependent APU estimates using long term sensor time series and MODIS land cover maps. These estimates can then be transferred back to a LC map (Fig. 47).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Cover</th>
<th>VIIRS EVI</th>
<th>TERRA EVI</th>
<th>AQUA EVI</th>
<th>VIIRS NDVI</th>
<th>TERRA NDVI</th>
<th>AQUA NDVI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Snow/Ice</td>
<td>-0.055</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>-0.849</td>
<td>-0.511</td>
<td>-0.267</td>
<td>-0.789</td>
<td>-0.384</td>
<td>-0.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>-0.306</td>
<td>-0.185</td>
<td>-0.094</td>
<td>-0.262</td>
<td>-0.138</td>
<td>-0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>-0.482</td>
<td>-0.322</td>
<td>-0.177</td>
<td>-0.445</td>
<td>-0.261</td>
<td>-0.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Table: Land Cover Accuracy and Precision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Cover</th>
<th>VIIRS EVI2</th>
<th>TERRA EVI2</th>
<th>AQUA EVI2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Snow/Ice</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen needleleaf forest</td>
<td>-0.406</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous needleleaf forest</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Shrublands</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous broadleaf forest</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barren or sparsely vegetated</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasslands</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous broadleaf forest</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrublands</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deciduous broadleaf forest</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woody Savannas</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evergreen needleleaf forest</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figures:

- **Global NDVI APU**
- **Global EVI APU**

---
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Figure 47: Statistically modeled Accuracy Precision and Uncertainty of the VI data record. These maps were estimated based on the statistical analysis of long term VI data over clustered land cover. Then results were transferred back to a MODIS LC map.

13. S-NPP VIIRS VI Record Continuity Considerations

Whereas single mission or sensor specific measurements of vegetation index exist, the length of these records is usually limited due to the mission life expectancy, usually few years, engineering and technological changes which necessitates new designs and improvements, and changes in data processing methods and approaches which render the older data undesirable and hard to integrate with newer data. In practice, these limitations impose a restriction on the data usefulness, in particular when addressing long-term phenomenon and trends because they lack representation, or in statistical context, they cannot support the generation of an accurate and representative long-term normal. Extending these records beyond the life span of a single sensor is crucial to remote sensing data.

Three global daily synoptic imagers; AVHRR, MODIS and VIIRS are the current work horse of global land surface vegetation imaging. Up to 1998/2000 AVHHR (N-7, 9, 11, 14) remained the only synoptic remote sensing work horse of Earth Observation, and starting 2000/2002 the records were augmented by data from the much improved EOS MODIS Terra and Aqua (Justice and Townshend 2002; Huete et al. 2002) and to a lesser extend SPOT-VEGETATION (VGT). These records are now being replaced by the operational S-NPP VIIRS and eventually by the Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS) VIIRS instrument (Fig. 48). The merits of these systems lie in their time-series of daily multi-spectral observations, which are used to characterize and monitor the land surface at regional to global scales. While not all land products have their heritage in the NOAA-AVHRR, the Vegetation Index data record dates back to 1981 when NOAA’s Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) started this continuum (Tucker et al. 2005; Brown et al. 2006). This vital VI Earth science record supports monitoring, detecting, and quantifying global land vegetation properties.
Figure 48: Current single sensor data records, including S-NPP and JPSS (1-4) VIIRS, while providing a 35+ year long time series remain quite disparate and continuity across these sensors need to be accounted for in order to use the record as a single time series. Inset: AVHRR, MODIS, and VIIRS spectral band passes.

To support across sensor data continuity, VIIRS VI data was compared to AVHRR and MODIS records. Figure 49 shows the data histogram distributions with minor differences across the three sensors.

![Histograms of data distributions](image-url)
Figure 49: Red, NIR, Blue, and Vis Histogram distributions of T/A MODIS and S-NPP VIIRS. Largest differences in the NIR and blue bands (b) and Largest VI differences at the low and high ends of the range (b) (Tropics, Deserts, Snow/Ice) with VIIRS being higher at the higher end lower at the lower end.

The surface reflectance and VI differences between VIIRS-AVHRR and VIIRS-MODIS are shown in Fig. 50
Figure 50: Vis spatial distribution of the difference between VIIRS, AVHRR and MODIS. Globally the difference is 2-5% and is larger with NDVI than EVI/EVI2. Similarly the average difference in surface reflectance is < 5% with a 2.5% mean.

The sensor land cover based data cross correlations show strong and consistent linearity ($R^2>95\%$, Fig 51), which indicates that VI are for the most part readily interchangeable requiring minor continuity adjustment (Didan et al. 2016).

Figure 51: Land cover dependent across sensors VI correlation. Data form the three sensors are highly correlated ($R^2>95\%$) which should permit translation and continuity.
Figure 52: Regional VIIRS and MODIS VI continuity analysis. These correlations could be used to design the transfer functions for the data translation across the two sensors.

Using the above information, and VIIRS/MODIS 2012-2015 overlap data a prototype explicit pixel based monthly continuity transfer maps were developed (Fig. 53) between VIIRS and MODIS (and AVHRR not shown). These transfer maps can be used to translate the VI data cross the two sensors, following equation:

\[ VIIRS_{VI} = \alpha(AVHRR_{VI} \text{ or MODIS}_{VI}) + \beta \]

![Figure 53: Prototype explicit seasonally dependent per pixel transfer function/map continuity algorithms for VIIRS to MODIS (using data from 2012-2015). Any sensor data from AVHRR, MODIS, or VIIRS can be standardized to any other sensor domain](image-url)
using these transfer maps. Here we are proposing VIIRS as the reference sensor based on practical and contextual reasons, since this work concerns VIIRS, the data record is the most recent, and VIIRS will likely be the longest (considering JPSS plans).

This prototype empirical continuity approaches suggest that VIIRS will perform as good as MODIS (Vargas et al. 2013) and that the VIIRS data record will provide for the extension of this VI time series. Prior work (by the VIP group, vip.arizona.edu, Didan et al. 2016) has shown that empirical and explicit per pixel continuity regionally calibrated approach performs extremely well in addressing the ancillary sources of issues in the data records. This provides a solid and necessary foundation to extending the VI time series across these major sensors.

14. Summary and Conclusion

Continuous acquisition of global satellite imagery over the years has contributed to the creation of a long VI time series from AVHRR, MODIS, TM, SPOT-VGT and other sensors. These records now account for more than 35 years of synoptic Earth surface observation. As these archives grow, they are becoming an invaluable tool for environmental monitoring, resources management, and climate studies from local, to regional, to global scales.

This S-NPP effort is building upon the EOS-MODIS legacy and methods. In this document we presented a set of methodologies and science algorithms for the creation of a consistent multi-spatial and temporal resolution Vegetation Index product suite from the S-NPP VIIRS Sensor. While adapting the various EOS MODIS science algorithms to ingest and process VIIRS data was the primary goal of this project and document, other objectives and opportunities ranging from continuity, data processing strategies, and the longer goal of sustaining the generation of a long term time series data record were also discussed. In addition, we presented and discussed an initial framework for a spatially explicit per-pixel error characterization approach.

The S-NPP VIIRS VI data are public and will be available from the LP-DAAC (lpdaac.usgs.gov) distribution center and via an interactive online tool at the science team’s facility (the VIP Data Explorer (vip.arizona.edu/viplab_data_explorer.php)) with focus on value addition and on demand time series services.

The S-NPP VIIRS Vegetation Index product suite is building upon the MODIS legacy and shows strong correlation and capabilities to sustain the VI time series for many years.
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Appendix – I - Global Metadata Attributes

GROUP=SwathStructure
END_GROUP=SwathStructure
GROUP=GridStructure
GROUP=GRID_1

GridName="NPP_Grid_16Day_VI_500m"
XDim=2400
YDim=2400
UpperLeftPointMtrs=(-10007554.677000,4447802.078667)
LowerRightMtrs=(-8895604.157333,3335851.559000)
Projection=HE5_GCTP_SNSID
ProjParams=(6371007.181000,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0)
SphereCode=-1
GROUP=Dimension
END_GROUP=Dimension

GROUP=DataField

OBJECT=DataField_1

DataFieldName="500 m 16 days NDVI"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList=("YDim","XDim")
MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5

END_OBJECT=DataField_1

OBJECT=DataField_2

DataFieldName="500 m 16 days EVI"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList=("YDim","XDim")
MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5

END_OBJECT=DataField_2

OBJECT=DataField_3

DataFieldName="500 m 16 days EVI2"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList=("YDim","XDim")
MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5

END_OBJECT=DataField_3

OBJECT=DataField_4

DataFieldName="500 m 16 days VI Quality"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_USHORT
DimList=("YDim","XDim")
MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5

END_OBJECT=DataField_4

OBJECT=DataField_5

DataFieldName="500 m 16 days red reflectance"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList=("YDim","XDim")
MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5

END_OBJECT=DataField_5

OBJECT=DataField_6

DataFieldName="500 m 16 days NIR reflectance"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList=("YDim","XDim")
MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5

END_OBJECT=DataField_6
OBJECT=DataField_7
  DataFieldName="500 m 16 days blue reflectance"
  DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
  DimList=("YDim","XDim")
  MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
  CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
  DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_7

OBJECT=DataField_8
  DataFieldName="500 m 16 days green reflectance"
  DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
  DimList=("YDim","XDim")
  MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
  CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
  DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_8

OBJECT=DataField_9
  DataFieldName="500 m 16 days SWIR1 reflectance"
  DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
  DimList=("YDim","XDim")
  MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
  CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
  DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_9

OBJECT=DataField_10
  DataFieldName="500 m 16 days SWIR2 reflectance"
  DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
  DimList=("YDim","XDim")
  MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
  CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
  DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_10

OBJECT=DataField_11
  DataFieldName="500 m 16 days SWIR3 reflectance"
  DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
  DimList=("YDim","XDim")
  MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
  CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
  DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_11

OBJECT=DataField_12
  DataFieldName="500 m 16 days view zenith angle"
  DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
  DimList=("YDim","XDim")
  MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
  CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
  DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_12

OBJECT=DataField_13
  DataFieldName="500 m 16 days sun zenith angle"
  DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
  DimList=("YDim","XDim")
  MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
  CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
  DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_13

OBJECT=DataField_14
  DataFieldName="500 m 16 days relative azimuth angle"
  DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
  DimList=("YDim","XDim")
  MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
  CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
  DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_14

OBJECT=DataField_15
DataFieldName="500 m 16 days composite day of the year"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_15
OBJECT=DataField_16
    DataFieldName="500 m 16 days pixel reliability"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SCHAR
DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_16
END_OBJECT=DataField
END_GROUP=DataField
GROUP=MergedFields
END_GROUP=MergedFields
END_GROUP=GRID_1
END_GROUP=GridStructure
GROUP=PointStructure
END_GROUP=PointStructure
GROUP=ZaStructure
END_GROUP=ZaStructure
END
GROUP = INVENTORYMETADATA
GROUPTYPE = MASTERGROUP
GROUP = ECSDATAGRANULE
OBJECT = LOCALGRANULEID
    NUM_VAL = 1
    VALUE = "VNP13A1.A2015145.h09v05.002.2015335163419.he5"
END_OBJECT = LOCALGRANULEID
OBJECT = PRODUCTIONDATETIME
    NUM_VAL = 1
    VALUE = "2016-06-28T17:27:03.000Z"
END_OBJECT = PRODUCTIONDATETIME
OBJECT = DAYNIGHTFLAG
    NUM_VAL = 1
    VALUE = "DAY"
END_OBJECT = DAYNIGHTFLAG
OBJECT = REPROCESSINGACTUAL
    NUM_VAL = 1
    VALUE = "reprocessed"
END_OBJECT = REPROCESSINGACTUAL
OBJECT = LOCALVERSIONID
    NUM_VAL = 1
    VALUE = "2.2.4"
END_OBJECT = LOCALVERSIONID
OBJECT = REPROCESSINGPLANNED
    NUM_VAL = 1
    VALUE = "further update is anticipated"
END_OBJECT = REPROCESSINGPLANNED
END_GROUP = ECSDATAGRANULE
GROUP = MEASUREDPARAMETER
GROUPTYPE = MEASUREDPARAMETER
GROUP = PARAMETERNAME
OBJECT = PARAMETERNAME
    CLASS = "1"
OBJECT = PARAMETERNAME
    CLASS = "1"
OBJECT = PARAMETERNAME
    CLASS = "1"
END_OBJECT = PARAMETERNAME
GROUP = QAFLAGS
OBJECT = SCIENCEQUALITYFLAG
GROUP = "1"
OBJECT = SCIENCEQUALITYFLAG
CLASS = "1"
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "Not Investigated"
END_OBJECT = SCIENCEQUALITYFLAG
OBJECT = AUTOMATICQUALITYFLAGEXPLANATION
CLASS = "1"
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "No automatic quality assessment is performed in the PGE"
END_OBJECT = AUTOMATICQUALITYFLAGEXPLANATION
OBJECT = AUTOMATICQUALITYFLAG
CLASS = "1"
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "Passed"
END_OBJECT = AUTOMATICQUALITYFLAG
OBJECT = SCIENCEQUALITYFLAGEXPLANATION
CLASS = "1"
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "see http://landweb.nascom.nasa.gov/
END_OBJECT = SCIENCEQUALITYFLAGEXPLANATION
END_GROUP = QAFLAGS
GROUP = QASTATS
CLASS = "1"
OBJECT = QAPERCENTMISSINDATA
CLASS = "1"
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = 0
END_OBJECT = QAPERCENTMISSINDATA
OBJECT = QAPERCENTOUTOFBOUNDSDATA
CLASS = "1"
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = 0
END_OBJECT = QAPERCENTOUTOFBOUNDSDATA
OBJECT = QAPERCENTCLOUDCOVER
CLASS = "1"
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = 0
END_OBJECT = QAPERCENTCLOUDCOVER
OBJECT = QAPERCENTINTERPOLATEDDATA
CLASS = "1"
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = 100
END_OBJECT = QAPERCENTINTERPOLATEDDATA
END_GROUP = QASTATS
END_OBJECT = MEASUREDPARAMETERCONTAINER
END_OBJECT = MEASUREDPARAMETERCONTAINER
END_OBJECT = MEASUREDPARAMETER
GROUP = COLLECTIONDESCRIPTIONCLASS
OBJECT = VERSIONID
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "2.0"
END_OBJECT = VERSIONID
OBJECT = SHORTNAME
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "VNP13A1"
END_OBJECT = SHORTNAME
END_GROUP = COLLECTIONDESCRIPTIONCLASS
GROUP = INPUTGRANULE
OBJECT = INPUTPOINTER
NUM_VAL = 80
VALUE = ("VNP09G1K1.A2013009.h08v05.001.2016332102924.hdf",
"VNP09G1K1.A2013010.h08v05.001.2016332143303.hdf",
"VNP09G1K1.A2013011.h08v05.001.2016333044746.hdf",
"VNPPT1KDI.A2013009.h08v05.001.2016332101753.hdf",
"VNPPT1KDI.A2013010.h08v05.001.2016332142549.hdf",
"VNPPT1KDI.A2013011.h08v05.001.2016333044352.hdf", ...
"VNPMGGAD1I.A2013009.h08v05.001.2016332101753.hdf",
"VNPMGGAD1I.A2013010.h08v05.001.2016332142549.hdf",
"VNPMGGAD1I.A2013016.h08v05.001.2016333060401.hdf")
END_OBJECT = INPUT POINTER
END_GROUP = INPUT GRANULE
GROUP = SPATIAL DOMAIN CONTAINER
GROUP = HORIZONTAL SPATIAL DOMAIN CONTAINER
GROUP = GPOLYGON
OBJECT = GPOLYGON CONTAINER
  CLASS = "1"
  GROUP = GRING
  CLASS = "1"
OBJECT = EXCLUSION GRING FLAG
  CLASS = "1"
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "N"
END_OBJECT = EXCLUSION GRING FLAG
  END_GROUP = GRING
  GROUP = GRING POINT
  CLASS = "1"
OBJECT = GRING POINT LATITUDE
  NUM_VAL = 4
  CLASS = "1"
  VALUE = (29.836100,40.000000,40.074200,29.900999)
END_OBJECT = GRING POINT LATITUDE
OBJECT = GRING POINT LONGITUDE
  NUM_VAL = 4
  CLASS = "1"
  VALUE = (-103.835899,-117.486702,-104.256699,-92.131897)
END_OBJECT = GRING POINT LONGITUDE
OBJECT = GRING POINT SEQUENCE NO
  NUM_VAL = 4
  CLASS = "1"
  VALUE = (1, 2, 3, 4)
END_OBJECT = GRING POINT SEQUENCE NO
  END_GROUP = GRING POINT
  END_GROUP = GPOLYGON CONTAINER
END_GROUP = GPOLYGON
END_GROUP = HORIZONTAL SPATIAL DOMAIN CONTAINER
END_GROUP = SPATIAL DOMAIN CONTAINER
GROUP = RANGE DATETIME
OBJECT = RANGE ENDING DATE
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "2015-06-09"
END_OBJECT = RANGE ENDING DATE
OBJECT = RANGE ENDING TIME
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "23:59:59"
END_OBJECT = RANGE ENDING TIME
OBJECT = RANGE BEGINNING DATE
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "2015-05-25"
END_OBJECT = RANGE BEGINNING DATE
OBJECT = RANGE BEGINNING TIME
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "00:00:00"
END_OBJECT = RANGE BEGINNING TIME
END_GROUP = RANGE DATETIME
GROUP = PGE VERSION CLASS
OBJECT = PGE VERSION
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "2.0"
END_OBJECT = PGE VERSION
END_GROUP = PGE VERSION CLASS
GROUP = ASSOCIATED PLATFORM INSTRUMENT SENSOR
OBJECT = ASSOCIATEDPLATFORMINSTRUMENTSENSORCONTAINER
CLASS = "1"

OBJECT = ASSOCIATEDSENSORSHORTNAME
CLASS = "1"
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "VIIRS"
END_OBJECT = ASSOCIATEDSENSORSHORTNAME

OBJECT = ASSOCIATEDPLATFORMSHORTNAME
CLASS = "1"
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "NPP"
END_OBJECT = ASSOCIATEDPLATFORMSHORTNAME

OBJECT = ASSOCIATEDINSTRUMENTSHORTNAME
CLASS = "1"
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "VIIRS"
END_OBJECT = ASSOCIATEDINSTRUMENTSHORTNAME

END_OBJECT = ASSOCIATEDPLATFORMINSTRUMENTSENSORCONTAINER

END_GROUP = ASSOCIATEDPLATFORMINSTRUMENTSENSOR

GROUP = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTES

OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTESCONTAINER
CLASS = "1"

OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTENAME
CLASS = "1"
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "QAPERCENTGOODQUALITY"
END_OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTENAME

GROUP = INFORMATIONCONTENT
CLASS = "1"

OBJECT = PARAMETERVALUE
NUM_VAL = 1
CLASS = "1"
VALUE = "77"
END_OBJECT = PARAMETERVALUE

END_GROUP = INFORMATIONCONTENT
END_OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTESCONTAINER

OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTESCONTAINER
CLASS = "2"

OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTENAME
CLASS = "2"
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "QAPERCENTOTHERQUALITY"
END_OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTENAME

GROUP = INFORMATIONCONTENT
CLASS = "2"

OBJECT = PARAMETERVALUE
NUM_VAL = 1
CLASS = "2"
VALUE = "23"
END_OBJECT = PARAMETERVALUE

END_GROUP = INFORMATIONCONTENT
END_OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTESCONTAINER

OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTESCONTAINER
CLASS = "3"

OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTENAME
CLASS = "3"
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "QAPERCENTNOTPRODUCEDCLOUD"
END_OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTENAME

GROUP = INFORMATIONCONTENT
CLASS = "3"

OBJECT = PARAMETERVALUE
NUM_VAL = 1
CLASS = "3"
VALUE = "0"
END_OBJECT = PARAMETERVALUE

END_GROUP = INFORMATIONCONTENT
END_OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTESCONTAINER
END_GROUP = INFORMATIONCONTENT
   END_OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTESCONTAINER
   OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTESCONTAINER
      CLASS = "12"
   OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTENAME
      CLASS = "12"
      NUM_VAL = 1
      VALUE = "identifier_product_doi_authority"
   END_OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTENAME
   GROUP = INFORMATIONCONTENT
      CLASS = "12"
      OBJECT = PARAMETERVALUE
         NUM_VAL = 1
         CLASS = "12"
         VALUE = "LPDAAC"
      END_OBJECT = PARAMETERVALUE
   END_GROUP = INFORMATIONCONTENT
   END_OBJECT = ADDITIONALATTRIBUTESCONTAINER
   END_GROUP = INVENTORYMETADATA
   END

GROUP = ARCHIVEDMETADATA
   GROUPTYPE = MASTERGROUP
   OBJECT = ALGORITHMPACKAGEACCEPTANCEDATE
      NUM_VAL = 1
      VALUE = "not_set"
   END_OBJECT = ALGORITHMPACKAGEACCEPTANCEDATE
   OBJECT = ALGORITHMPACKAGEMATURITYCODE
      NUM_VAL = 1
      VALUE = "Normal"
   END_OBJECT = ALGORITHMPACKAGEMATURITYCODE
   OBJECT = ALGORITHMPACKAGENAME
      NUM_VAL = 1
      VALUE = "NPP_PR13A1"
   END_OBJECT = ALGORITHMPACKAGENAME
   OBJECT = ALGORITHMPACKAGEVERSION
      NUM_VAL = 1
      VALUE = "2"
   END_OBJECT = ALGORITHMPACKAGEVERSION
   OBJECT = INSTRUMENTNAME
      NUM_VAL = 1
      VALUE = "Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite"
   END_OBJECT = INSTRUMENTNAME
   OBJECT = LONGNAME
      NUM_VAL = 1
      VALUE = "VIIRS/NPP Vegetation Index 16-Day L3 SIN Grid 1km"
   END_OBJECT = LONGNAME
   OBJECT = PROCESSINGCENTER
      NUM_VAL = 1
      VALUE = "MODAPS"
   END_OBJECT = PROCESSINGCENTER
GROUP = BOUNDINGRECTANGLE
   OBJECT = NORTHBOUNDINGCOORDINATE
      NUM_VAL = 1
      VALUE = 40.000000
   END_OBJECT = NORTHBOUNDINGCOORDINATE
   OBJECT = SOUTHBOUNDINGCOORDINATE
      NUM_VAL = 1
      VALUE = 30.000000
   END_OBJECT = SOUTHBOUNDINGCOORDINATE
   OBJECT = EASTBOUNDINGCOORDINATE
      NUM_VAL = 1
      VALUE = -92.366425
   END_OBJECT = EASTBOUNDINGCOORDINATE
OBJECT = WESTBOUNDINGCOORDINATE
  VALUE = -117.486656
END_OBJECT = WESTBOUNDINGCOORDINATE
END_GROUP = BOUNDINGRECTANGLE
OBJECT = SEAPROCESSED
  VALUE = "Yes"
END_OBJECT = SEAPROCESSED
OBJECT = PROCESSINGENVIRONMENT
  VALUE = "Linux nppdev-c64 2.6.18-308.20.1.el5 #1 SMP Tue Nov 13 10:15:12 EST 2012 x86_64 x86_64 x86_64 GNU/Linux"
END_OBJECT = PROCESSINGENVIRONMENT
OBJECT = DESCRRREVISION
  VALUE = "2.0"
END_OBJECT = DESCRRREVISION
OBJECT = CHARACTERISTICBINANGULARSIZE
  VALUE = 15.0
END_OBJECT = CHARACTERISTICBINANGULARSIZE
OBJECT = CHARACTERISTICBINSIZE
  VALUE = 463.312716527778
END_OBJECT = CHARACTERISTICBINSIZE
OBJECT = DATACOLUMNS
  VALUE = 2400
END_OBJECT = DATACOLUMNS
OBJECT = DATAROWS
  VALUE = 2400
END_OBJECT = DATAROWS
OBJECT = GLOBALGRIDCOLUMNS
  VALUE = 86400
END_OBJECT = GLOBALGRIDCOLUMNS
OBJECT = GLOBALGRIDROWS
  VALUE = 43200
END_OBJECT = GLOBALGRIDROWS
OBJECT = NUMBEROFDAYS
  VALUE = 16
END_OBJECT = NUMBEROFDAYS
OBJECT = DAYSOFYEAR
  VALUE = (145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160)
END_OBJECT = DAYSOFYEAR
OBJECT = GEOANYABNORMAL
  VALUE = "False"
END_OBJECT = GEOANYABNORMAL
OBJECT = GEOFMAXRSSERROR
  VALUE = "not_set"
END_OBJECT = GEOFMAXRSSERROR
OBJECT = QAPERCENTPOORQ500M16DAYNDVI
  VALUE = (0, 55, 25, 17, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
END_OBJECT = QAPERCENTPOORQ500M16DAYNDVI
OBJECT = QAPERCENTPOORQ500M16DAYEVI
  VALUE = 16
END_OBJECT = QAPERCENTPOORQ500M16DAYEVI
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VALUE = (0, 55, 25, 17, 1, 2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)
END_OBJECT = QAPERCENTPOORQ500M16DAYEVI
OBJECT = PERCENTLAND
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = 98
END_OBJECT = PERCENTLAND
OBJECT = DAYS_PROCESSED
NUM_VAL = 16
END_OBJECT = DAYS_PROCESSED
OBJECT = PRODUCTION_TYPE
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "Regular Production [1-16,17-32,33-48,...353-2/3]"
END_OBJECT = PRODUCTION_TYPE
END_GROUP = ARCHIVED_METADATA
END
Appendix – II - Global Metadata Attributes

GROUP=SwathStructure
END_GROUP=SwathStructure

GROUP=GridStructure
GROUP=GRID_1
  GridName="NPP_Grid_16Day_VI_CMG"
  XDim=7200
  YDim=3600
  UpperLeftPointMtrs=(-180000000.000000,90000000.000000)
  LowerRightMtrs=(180000000.000000,-90000000.000000)
  Projection=HE5_GCTP_GEO
END_GROUP=Dimension

GROUP=Dimension
END_GROUP=Dimension

GROUP=DataField
OBJECT=DataField_1
  DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days NDVI"
  DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
  DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
  MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
  CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
  DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_1

OBJECT=DataField_2
  DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days EVI"
  DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
  DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
  MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
  CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
  DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_2

OBJECT=DataField_3
  DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days EVI2"
  DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
  DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
  MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
  CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
  DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_3

OBJECT=DataField_4
  DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days VI Quality"
  DataType=H5T_NATIVE_USHORT
  DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
  MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
  CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
  DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_4

OBJECT=DataField_5
  DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days red reflectance"
  DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
  DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
  MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
  CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
  DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_5

OBJECT=DataField_6
  DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days NIR reflectance"
  DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
  DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
  MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
  CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
  DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_6

OBJECT=DataField_7
DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days blue reflectance"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_7
OBJECT=DataField_8
DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days green reflectance"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_8
OBJECT=DataField_9
DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days SWIR1 reflectance"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_9
OBJECT=DataField_10
DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days SWIR2 reflectance"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_10
OBJECT=DataField_11
DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days SWIR3 reflectance"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_11
OBJECT=DataField_12
DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days Avg sun zen angle"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_12
OBJECT=DataField_13
DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days NDVI std dev"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_13
OBJECT=DataField_14
DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days EVI std dev"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList="(YDim","XDim")"
MaxdimList="(YDim","XDim")"
CompressionType=HE5_HDFE_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_14
OBJECT=DataField_15
DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days EVI2 std dev"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SHORT
DimList=("YDim","XDim")
MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
CompressionType=HE5_HDF_E_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_15
OBJECT=DataField_16
DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days #1km pix used"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_UCHAR
DimList=("YDim","XDim")
MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
CompressionType=HE5_HDF_E_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_16
OBJECT=DataField_17
DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days #1km pix +-30deg VZ"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_UCHAR
DimList=("YDim","XDim")
MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
CompressionType=HE5_HDF_E_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_17
OBJECT=DataField_18
DataFieldName="CMG 0.05 Deg 16 days pixel reliability"
DataType=H5T_NATIVE_SCHAR
DimList=("YDim","XDim")
MaxdimList=("YDim","XDim")
CompressionType=HE5_HDF_E_COMP_DEFLATE
DeflateLevel=5
END_OBJECT=DataField_18
END_GROUP=DataField
END_GROUP=MergedFields
END_GROUP=GRID_1
END_GROUP=GridStructure
END_GROUP=PointStructure
END_GROUP=ZaStructure
END
GROUP=INVENTORYMETADATA
GROUPTYPE=MASTERGROUP
GROUP=ECSDATAGRANULE
OBJECT=LOCALGRANULEID
NUM_VAL=1
VALUE="VNP13C1.A2015145.002.2016111183024.h5"
END_OBJECT=LOCALGRANULEID

OBJECT=PRODUCTIONDATETIME
NUM_VAL=1
VALUE="2016-04-20T11:28:16.000Z"
END_OBJECT=PRODUCTIONDATETIME

OBJECT=DAYNIGHTFLAG
NUM_VAL=1
VALUE=""
END_OBJECT=DAYNIGHTFLAG

OBJECT=REPROCESSINGACTUAL
NUM_VAL=1
VALUE="reprocessed"
END_OBJECT=REPROCESSINGACTUAL

OBJECT=LOCALVERSIONID
NUM_VAL=1
VALUE="2.0.0"
END_OBJECT=LOCALVERSIONID
OBJECT = REPROCESSINGPLANNED
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "further update is anticipated"
END_OBJECT = REPROCESSINGPLANNED
END_GROUP = ECSDATAGRANULE
GROUP = MEASUREDPARAMETER
OBJECT = MEASUREDPARAMETERCONTAINER
CLASS = 1
GROUP = QAFLAGS
CLASS="1"
OBJECT = SCIENCEQUALITYFLAG
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "Not Investigated"
END_OBJECT = SCIENCEQUALITYFLAG
OBJECT = AUTOMATICQUALITYFLAGEXPLANATION
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "No automatic quality assessment is performed in the PGE"
END_OBJECT = AUTOMATICQUALITYFLAGEXPLANATION
OBJECT = AUTOMATICQUALITYFLAG
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "Passed"
END_OBJECT = AUTOMATICQUALITYFLAG
OBJECT = SCIENCEQUALITYFLAGEXPLANATION
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "see http://landweb.nascom.nasa.gov/"
END_OBJECT = SCIENCEQUALITYFLAGEXPLANATION
END_GROUP = QAFLAGS
GROUP = QASTATS
CLASS="1"
END_GROUP = QASTATS
OBJECT = PARAMETERNAME
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "CMG 16 day NDVI"
END_OBJECT = PARAMETERNAME
END_OBJECT = MEASUREDPARAMETERCONTAINER
GROUP = MEASUREDPARAMETER
OBJECT = MEASUREDPARAMETERCONTAINER
CLASS = 2
GROUP = QAFLAGS
CLASS="2"
OBJECT = SCIENCEQUALITYFLAG
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "Not Investigated"
END_OBJECT = SCIENCEQUALITYFLAG
OBJECT = AUTOMATICQUALITYFLAGEXPLANATION
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "No automatic quality assessment is performed in the PGE"
END_OBJECT = AUTOMATICQUALITYFLAGEXPLANATION
OBJECT = AUTOMATICQUALITYFLAG
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "Passed"
END_OBJECT = AUTOMATICQUALITYFLAG
OBJECT = SCIENCEQUALITYFLAGEXPLANATION
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "see http://landweb.nascom.nasa.gov/"
END_OBJECT = SCIENCEQUALITYFLAGEXPLANATION
END_GROUP = QAFLAGS
END_GROUP = QASTATS
OBJECT = PARAMETERNAME
  NUM_VAL = 1
  VALUE = "CMG 16 day EVI"
END_OBJECT = PARAMETERNAME
END_OBJECT = MEASUREDPARAMETERCONTAINER
GROUP = COLLECTIONDESCRIPTIONCLASS
OBJECT = VERSIONID
  NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "2.0"
END_OBJECT = PGEVERSION
END_GROUP = PGEVERSIONCLASS
END_GROUP = INVENTORYMETADATA
GROUP = ARCHIVEDMETADATA
GROUPTYPE = MASTERGROUP
OBJECT = ALGORITHMPACKAGEACCEPTANCEDATE
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "2004-10-01"
END_OBJECT = ALGORITHMPACKAGEACCEPTANCEDATE
OBJECT = ALGORITHMPACKAGENAME
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = ""
END_OBJECT = ALGORITHMPACKAGENAME
OBJECT = ALGORITHMPACKAGEVERSION
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "5"
END_OBJECT = ALGORITHMPACKAGEVERSION
OBJECT = INSTRUMENTNAME
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite"
END_OBJECT = INSTRUMENTNAME
OBJECT = LONGNAME
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "VIIRS Vegetation Indices 16-Day Global 0.05Deg CMG"
END_OBJECT = LONGNAME
OBJECT = SENSORSHORTNAME
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "VIIRS"
END_OBJECT = SENSORSHORTNAME
OBJECT = PROCESSINGCENTER
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "Not_set"
END_OBJECT = PROCESSINGCENTER
OBJECT = SEAPROCESSED
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "No"
END_OBJECT = SEAPROCESSED
OBJECT = DESCRREVISION
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = "2.0"
END_OBJECT = DESCRREVISION
OBJECT = DATACOLUMNS
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = 7200
END_OBJECT = DATACOLUMNS
OBJECT = DATAROWS
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = 3600
END_OBJECT = DATAROWS
OBJECT = GLOBALGRIDCOLUMNS
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = 7200
END_OBJECT = GLOBALGRIDCOLUMNS
OBJECT = GLOBALGRIDROWS
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = 3600
END_OBJECT = GLOBALGRIDROWS
OBJECT = NUMBEROFDAYS
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = 16
END_OBJECT = NUMBEROFDAYS
OBJECT = DAYSOFYEAR
NUM_VAL = 1
VALUE = (145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156,
END_OBJECT = DAYSOFYEAR
OBJECT = DAYSPROCESSED
   NUM_VAL = 16
   VALUE = ("2015145", "2015146", "2015147", "2015148", "2015149", 
            "2015150", "2015151", "2015152", "2015153", "2015154", "2015155", "2015156", 
            "2015157", "2015158", "2015159", "2015160")
END_OBJECT = DAYSPROCESSED
OBJECT = GEOANYABNORMAL
   NUM_VAL = 1
   VALUE = "False"
END_OBJECT = GEOANYABNORMAL
OBJECT = GEOESTMAXRMSERROR
   NUM_VAL = 1
   VALUE = "50.0"
END_OBJECT = GEOESTMAXRMSERROR
OBJECT = QAPERCENTPOORQCMG16DAYNDVI
   NUM_VAL = 16
   VALUE = 21565436
END_OBJECT = QAPERCENTPOORQCMG16DAYNDVI
OBJECT = QAPERCENTPOORQCMG16DAYEVI
   NUM_VAL = 16
   VALUE = 21565500
END_OBJECT = QAPERCENTPOORQCMG16DAYEVI
OBJECT = QAPERCENTPOORQCMG16DAYEVI2
   NUM_VAL = 16
   VALUE = 21565436
END_OBJECT = QAPERCENTPOORQCMG16DAYEVI2
OBJECT = PERCENTLAND
   NUM_VAL = 1
   VALUE = 0
END_OBJECT = PERCENTLAND
OBJECT = SNOWICEFLAGGED
   NUM_VAL = 1
   VALUE = "YES"
END_OBJECT = SNOWICEFLAGGED
OBJECT = PRODUCTIONTYPE
   NUM_VAL = 1
   VALUE = "Regular Production [1-16,17-32,33-48,...353-2/3]"
END_OBJECT = PRODUCTIONTYPE
GROUP = DATAIDENTIFICATION
END_GROUP = ARCHIVEDMETADATA
END